COPYRIGHT ARBITRATION ROYALTY PANEL

+ + + +

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

HEARING

+ + + +

In the Matter of:

Adjustment of the Rates for | Noncommercial Educational | Broadcasting Compulsory | License |

Docket No. 96-6 CARP NCBRA

Library of Congress
James Madison Building
101 Independence Avenue, S.E.
Room LM414
Washington, D.C. 20540

Monday, March 16, 1998

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE LEWIS HALL GRIFFITH, Chairperson THE HONORABLE EDWARD DREYFUS
THE HONORABLE JEFFREY S. GULIN

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of Broadcast Music, Inc.:

JOHN FELLAS, ESQ.

NORMAN C. KLEINBERG, ESQ.

MICHAEL E. SALZMAN, ESQ.

of: Hughes, Hubbard & Reed, LLP

One Battery Park Plaza

New York, New York 10004-1482

(212) 837-6075 (JF)

6680 (NCK)

6833 (MES)

and

JOSEPH J. DiMONA, ESQ. (Asst. V.P.)

MARVIN L. BERENSON, ESQ.

Legal and Regulatory Affairs

BMI

320 West 57th Street

New York, New York 10019-3790

(212) 830-3847

On Behalf of ASCAP:

I. FRED KOENIGSBERG, ESQ.

PHILIP H. SCHAEFFER, ESQ.

J. CHRISTOPHER SHORE, ESQ.

SAMUEL MOSENKIS, ESQ.

of: White & Case, LLP

1155 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-2787

(212) 819-8740 (PHS)

8394 (JCS)

BEVERLY A. WILLETT, ESQ.

ASCAP Building

Sixth Floor

One Lincoln Plaza

New York, New York 10023

(212) 621-6289

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

<u>APPEARANCES</u> (continued):

On Behalf of ASCAP:

JOAN M. McGIVERN, ESQ.
Assistant Vice President of Legal
Affairs
Office of the CEO
ASCAP
One Lincoln Plaza
New York, New York 10023
(212) 621-6289

On Behalf of the Public Broadcasters:

R. BRUCE RICH, ESQ.
JONATHAN T. WEISS, ESQ.
MARK J. STEIN, ESQ.
TRACEY I. BATT, ESQ.
ELIZABETH FORMINARD, ESQ.
of: Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153-0119
(212) 310-8170 (RBR)
8885 (JTW)
8969 (MJS)
8405 (TIB)

and

KATHLEEN COX, ESQ. (General Counsel)
ROBERT M. WINTERINGHAM, ESQ. (Staff Atty)
Corporation for Public Broadcasting
901 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2037
(202) 879-9701 (KC)
9707 (RMW)

and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

APPEARANCES (continued):

On Behalf of the Public Broadcasters:

GREGORY FERENBACH, ESQ.,

(Vice Pres. & Acting General Counsel)

ANN W. ZEDD, ESQ. (Asst. Gen. Counsel)

KAREN C. RINDNER, ESQ. (Asst. Gen. Counsel)

PBS

1320 Braddock Place

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

(703) 739-5063 (GF)

5170 (AWZ)

NEAL A. JACKSON, ESQ.

DENISE B. LEARY, ESQ.

GREGORY A. LEWIS, ESQ.

Deputy General Counsel

National Public Radio

635 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20001

(202) 414-2000 (NPR)

2049 (DBL)

ALSO PRESENT:

GINA GIUFFREDA, CARP Specialist
TAMALA T. BOYD, Legal Assistant,
White and Case
ALBERT ALDERETE, Legal Assistant,
Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Alison Smith By Mr. Kleinberg By Mr. Stein By Mr. Schaeffer	1144	1173 1214	_	
Fredric Willms				
By Mr. Klein	1220		1426	
By Mr. Rich		1285	5	
		137	L	1427
By Mr. Schaeffer		1409	9	

E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	MARK RECD
<u>PB</u>		
16X	BMI Payment Schedule	1189 1204
17X	Joint Proposal of Broadcast Music Inc., the National Religious Broadcasters Music License Committee, and the National Federation of Community Broadcasters	1408

1.	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:03 a.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Ladies and
4	gentlemen, good morning. You had a portion of a
5	weekend anyhow.
6	Let the record reflect that the court
7	reporter has been previously sworn and remains under
8	oath.
9	I have indicated that we've received a
10	telephone call that Judge Dreyfus anticipates being 15
11	minutes late at the maximum, and I understand the
12	parties are agreeable to start without him at this
13	point.
14	MR. KLEINBERG: If that's okay with him.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: He doesn't have a
16	choice.
17	All right, Mr. Kleinberg.
18	MR. KLEINBERG: Yes, Your Honor, before I
19	call BMI's first witness, I just wanted to inquire
20	whether the Panel had before it the book with the one
21	volume of BMI's exhibits because we'll be referring to

that and they're all in one volume.

1	So if you've got it
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: This?
3	MR. KLEINBERG: That's it.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Yes.
5	MR. KLEINBERG: That will make things, I
6	think, easier in terms of the paperwork.
7	With that, I would call Alison Smith as
8	our first witness.
9	Whereupon,
10	ALISON SMITH
11	was called as a witness and, after having been first
12	duly sworn, assumed the witness stand, was examined
13	and testified as follows:
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. KLEINBERG:
16	Q Would you please tell the Panel your name?
17	A My name is Alison Smith.
18	Q And are you employed, Ms. Smith?
19	A Yes, I am.
20	Q And by whom are you employed?
21	A I'm employed by BMI.
22	Q And what is your current position?

1	A I am the vice president of performing
2	rights.
3	Q And could you explain to the Panel what
4	that position entails in terms of your
5	responsibilities?
6	A Yes, I am responsible for assisting in the
7	development of the payment schedule. I administer
8	certain aspects of our quarterly royalty
9	distributions. I sign songwriters and music
10	publishers to BMI.
11	I work in the area of international
12	incoming royalties. And I do a little bit of
13	troubleshooting as it relates to calls that may come
14	in to BMI, questions about statements. And I
15	communicate policy to our offices as well as to BMI
16	affiliated songwriters and music publishers.
17	Q How long have you been with BMI?
18	A Almost 13 years.
19	Q And where do you work physically now in
20	terms of the location?
21	A I'm based out of the New York office.
22	Q And how long have you been in New York?

1	A A little over ten years.
2	Q And where were you before that?
3	A Nashville.
4	Q Did you work at BMI in Nashville?
5	A I did.
6	Q And give the Panel some indication of what
7	your prior responsibilities or positions have been at
8	BMI before the current one.
9	A Well, I've had, I think, six or seven
10	different positions at BMI prior to my current one,
11	but I started in the Nashville office as a
12	secretary/research assistant looking up songs for
L3	people who wanted to use songs in anything from
14	records to TV programs, telling them who to contact to
L5	get those rights.
L6	Then I moved into the area of what they
L7	call writer, publisher relations which is basically
L8	working with songwriters on a day to day basis,
19	signing them to BMI, explaining BMI to them.
20	And then, when I moved to New York, I
21	became more involved in the distribution aspects and
- 1	Į.

moved into a new department that was formed called

1	performing rights which includes the writer, publisher
2	relations division as well as various different
3	distribution aspects.
4	Q As a result of your various jobs at BMI,
5	are you familiar with BMI's practices with respect to
6	distributions in radio and television?
7	A Yes, I am.
8	Q And are you also familiar with BMI's
9	operations with respect to the monitoring of music
10	performances of music in the BMI catalog?
11	A I am.
12	Q And as a result of your various positions,
13	have is it fair to say that you've also had the
14	frequent contact with BMI's writers and publishers?
15	A That's very fair to say. I have frequent
16	contact with them.
17	Q Could you tell the Panel what BMI is, Ms.
18	Smith?
19	A Yes, BMI is a music performing rights
20	organization, and we license the users of music,
21	collect license fees from them, and then we distribute
22	those royalties to the songwriters and music

1	publishers less an administrative fee.
2	Q When was BMI created?
3	A BMI was created in 1939.
4	Q And I know you weren't around in 1939, but
5	could you tell us who formed BMI?
6	A Yes, BMI was formed by a group of
7	broadcasters as an alternative licensing organization
8	to ASCAP at the time.
9	Q And could you briefly describe to the
10	Panel a little history of BMI's growth following its
11	formation in 1939?
12	A Certainly. We have grown tremendously
13	since 1939.
14	When BMI was formed, we had what was
15	called an open door policy. And there were certain
16	barriers to entry into ASCAP at that time, so certain
17	songwriters were not allowed to join ASCAP, and they
18	were, in fact, invited to join BMI.
19	Typical of that would have been rhythm and
20	blues; subsequent to that, rock and roll; blue grass,
21	etc. And we welcomed them in, and the organization
22	grew by leaps and bounds to where it is now.

1	We are the world's largest performing
2	rights organization currently with over 180,000
3	affiliated songwriters and music publishers.
4	Q And how many musical works are there in
5	the BMI repertory today?
6	A Approximately three million works.
7	Q Could you describe generally the breadth
8	of the repertoire?
9	A Sure. The repertoire spans broad
10	spectrums of music. We represent people in all genre
11	of music. We represent film composers, people that
12	compose music for television, popular songwriters,
13	people who write country music, rock and roll, jazz,
14	classical, rhythm and blues, Latin, folk.
15	Every possible genre of music that you
16	could imagine, we represent. Gospel I'm trying not
17	to leave out anyone.
18	Q To whom does BMI license its repertoire?
19	A We license the repertoire to the users of
20	music really anyplace where music is publicly
21	performed.
22	Q And aside from public broadcasting, what

1	other types of users have BMI licenses?
2	A We license commercial television stations
3	and radio stations. We license bars, restaurants,
4	aerobic studios, airlines, background music services,
5	colleges, universities. And there are many more, but
6	that's a group of them.
7	Q Does BMI also license commercial
8	television networks?
9	A Yes, we do.
10	Q Now you mentioned that you've been
11	involved in and understand BMI's distribution
12	practices with respect to royalties to its affiliates.
13	Could you tell the Panel generally how distributions
14	are made by BMI with respect to music performances?
15	A Yes. BMI makes quarterly
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Let me just ask one
17	question.
18	Are you offering her as an expert, and
19	should there be some voir dire testimony if they
20	desire?
21	MR. KLEINBERG: They are welcome to that.
22	She's certain an expert in the music licensing

1	business.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Seems to me we're
3	getting into the facts.
4	Do you wish to have any voir dire
5	examination?
6	MR. STEIN: I have no voir dire at this
7	time.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.
9	JUDGE GULIN: We've not offered it on
10	several witnesses. So if you ever any voir dire, just
11	
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Please let us know.
13	MR. STEIN: Thank you.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay, go right
15	ahead.
16	BY MR. KLEINBERG:
17	Q I'm sorry, Ms. Smith.
18	A That's okay.
19	Q My question was if you could describe for
20	the Panel how BMI generally distributes the money to
21	its affiliated writers and composers and publishers.
22	A Yes. As I started to say, for domestic

	royalties that would be royalties collected or
	license fees collected from the users of music in the
	United States, we make distributions quarterly.
	That would include commercial television,
	noncommercial television, commercial radio,
	noncommercial radio. And, as I suggested, all of the
	other licensees would fall into that quarterly
	distribution.
	Q And could you explain how that you
	determine the amount of the distributions in a general
	way?
	A Generally, the amount of the distributions
	are determined by the income from the available
	sources, namely the licensees, and then BMI calculates
	rates. We then, for radio, we get performance
	information from the radio stations with whom we have
	licenses.
	They provide what are called logs to us
	which list all the performances of individuals songs
	in a three day period. It is a sample for radio.
	Then we take that information and match it up against
- 1	11

our song title database which contains things like the

1	names of the songwriters and music publishers that are
2	applicable shares.
3	We apply it against the rate schedule, and
4	a performance royalty ensues.
5	With respect to television, again it is a
6	quarterly distribution. We utilize actual performance
7	information. That would be on the side of the
8	programming. We receive programming information from
9	the TV Data Corporation.
10	They provide us with the actual numbers of
11	performances of the various television programs. We
12	then rely on music cue sheets, which is the typical
13	document supplied to BMI and ASCAP and SESAC with
14	respect to the content of music in an individual
15	television program.
16	And we take that information. We marry
17	the number of performances of the program to the
18	applicable information in the cue sheet, and again a
19	royalty ensues for a songwriter or music publisher.
20	MR. KLEINBERG: Maybe we should just
21	since I see Judge Dreyfus has just arrived, can
22	should we perhaps just wait a minute until he

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Sure.
2	JUDGE GULIN: Sure, certainly.
3	MR. KLEINBERG: comes in?
4	THE WITNESS: Good morning.
5	JUDGE DREYFUS: Good morning.
6	THE WITNESS: Good morning, thank you.
7	How are you?
8	MR. KLEINBERG: Judge Dreyfus, do you have
9	volume the exhibit volume for BMI, this one volume,
10	short volume, two exhibits?
11	JUDGE DREYFUS: Sure.
12	MR. KLEINBERG: Okay, great.
13	As you can tell perhaps, we started
14	without you, but I would like, if everyone doesn't
15	mind, just to introduce the witness to you.
16	This is Alison Smith who is a vice
17	president of the performing rights department of BMI,
18	and she's been testifying about the BMI repertoire and
10	1 3
19	some of the distribution practices which, of course,
20	
	some of the distribution practices which, of course,
20	some of the distribution practices which, of course, you know from her written testimony.

1	railroads, but I got here as soon as I could.
2	BY MR. KLEINBERG:
3	Q Now, Ms. Smith, you were just generally
4	describing the basis on which the distributions are
5	done with respect to television licensees.
6	Would that also apply to public television
7	distributions?
8	A Yes, it does.
9	Q And you mentioned in your testimony the
LO	use of cue sheets. And what I'd like you to do is to
L1	take a look at Exhibit 3 in the BMI binder. And if
L2	you could turn to the third page of that exhibit to
L3	the last page of that exhibit.
L4	Could you tell the Panel what this page
L5	is?
L6	A Yes, this is a music cue sheet for the
L7	series Wishbone.
L8	Q And for the Panel's benefit, what is the
L9	Wishbone series?
20	A The Wishbone series is a children's show
21	that airs on PBS.
22	Q And can you tell us who prepares or who

1	has prepared this music cue sheet from your
2	understanding?
3	A I can't tell you exactly who prepared it,
4.	but typically a program producer would prepare a cue
5	sheet. With the case of PBS, it could be actually PBS
6	or it could have been prepared by the producer of the
7	program and then put on the typical PBS format.
8	Q And could you tell us what this is cue
9	sheet for in the Wishbone series?
LO	A Yes, it's for episode number 137. The
L1	title of the episode is Pantin' at the Opera.
L2	Q And can you tell from Exhibit 3 what the
L3	length of this episode was?
L4	A Thirty minutes.
L5	Q And moving on down, could you tell the
L6	Panel what the various categories of information are
L7	on the document?
L8	A Yes; on this document, as is typical with
L9	music cue sheets, you have the indication of the title
20	of a work that is used, which would be in the far
21	left-hand corner; the cue sequence is given farthest
22	left; then you have the songwriters and composers; the

Music publis	hers
--------------	------

You have the length of the particular cue or featured work. You have the usage, which would be theme, background feature or logo. You have the performing rights representation. And then you have, in this particular cue sheet, a source type.

Q Now, taking a look at the first cue, cue number one, am I correct that the title of this first composition is "What's the Story, Wishbone?"

A Yes, that's correct.

Q And this is a -- is this a shared work in terms of the writers?

A It is; it's a split work between Tim Cissell, who is a BMI writer, and Lynn Adler, who is a member of ASCAP.

Q And there is an indication there after Tim Cissell, BMI 70%, and then 30% after Lynn Adler. What's that indicate?

A That indicates the performance share applicable to each individual composer, and that would have been decided by Mr. Cissell and Ms. Adler at the time the composition was written.

1	Q And what's the use of this first entry,
2	"What's the Story, Wishbone?"
3	A It's used as the opening theme to the
4	program.
5	Q And it says the word vocal there. Does
6	that mean there was singing?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And what was the length of this song?
9	A The opening theme had a one minute usage.
LO	Q Can you tell from this cue sheet what the
L1	total amount of music was in this episode of Wishbone?
L2	A Yes, it's been totaled at the bottom.
L3	It's 25 minutes, 53 seconds.
L4	Q So does that mean that, close to 26
L5	minutes out of the 30 minutes, there was music in this
L6	episode?
L7	A Yes, that's correct.
L8	Q In the next category, it says instrumental
L9	underscoring in various ques. What's that mean?
20	A That means that there most likely are many
21	ques that make up the 20 minutes and 12 seconds that
22	you see indicated off to the side.

1	But they have, because of the fact that
2	it's the same composer, in this case Tom Merriman, who
3	is a BMI composer, they have, instead of listing what
4	may be 25, 30, even 50 different ques, they've
5	summarized them into one line.
6	Q And this is typical of the information
7	that you say is contained in cue sheets that BMI
8	obtains from various sources as part of its cue sheet
9	database?
LO	A Yes, it is.
L1	Q Now you were describing for us before the
L2	general distribution activities with respect to
L3	television on a domestic basis.
L4	Does BMI also distribute money based on
L5	foreign performances of its affiliates works?
L6	A Yes, we do.
L7	Q And generally how does that take place?
L8	A Generally, BMI receives royalties for the
L9	performances of the music that it licenses overseas on
20	various bases.
21	It could be quarterly from some major
22	societies that have computer systems that are

1	advanced. It might be semiannually from other
2	societies. It may be annually from smaller societies.
3	But we collect those royalties on behalf
4	of the songwriters primarily songwriters because a
5	lot of the Unites States publishers have what are
6	known are sub-publishers overseas that collect the
7	publisher share of the income.
8	But we receive the money. We take a small
9	administrative fee and pass through those royalties
10	directly to the composers and publishers.
11	Q Now you told us about information that BMI
12	obtains from network television network
13	programming.
14	Does BMI also get information with respect
15	to local television station performances, both
16	commercial and noncommercial?
17	A Yes, we do.
18	Q And tell the Panel what that information
19	consists of.
20	A Well, we receive again, from the TV Data
21	Corporation, the performances on the programs
22	themselves. We do supplement on the side of local

commercial	television	that	infor	mation	with	logs	that
are provid	ed to us.						
	Typically	, pı	ırsuan	t to	the	lic	cense

agreement, they provide us one week a year as a sample of locally originating programming. So to break out locally originating and then the remainder on local commercial would be syndication.

We collect a census of information on the syndicated side. We marry it to the cue sheets again, and the royalty distribution results. On the local TV, locally originating side, we collect the sample of information and we then take that again against cue sheets, if we have them available, and a royalty distribution results.

Q And does that system generally apply also to local public television stations?

A It does. The TV Data Corporation again provides the same information on the programs themselves; and PBS, for its network programming, provides us with cue sheets as part of the license agreement for the network feeds.

And then for the local performances on PBS

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	affiliated stations, we would attempt to secure cue
2	sheets and oftentimes receive those cue sheets
3	directly from the program producers or the
4	songwriters, the publishers, from many different
5	sources.
6	Q Now you used the term "locally originated
7	programming" when describing local television
8	stations.
9	Could you describe what you mean by local
10	originating programming?
11	A Locally originating programming would be
12	programming that has been developed and produced and
13	placed on the air by the local station as opposed to
14	receiving it from a third party source.
15	Q And a third party source could be
16	something like a program syndicator or distributor?
17	A Yes, it could.
18	Q Or a network if it were
19	A Certainly.
20	Q dealing with a network?
21	I'd like to ask you to direct your
22	attention now to the BMI repertoire. The Panel has

1	heard some testimony about the ASCAP repertoire
2	before, and I think we'd like to give you the
3	opportunity to give the Panel some information about
4	the BMI repertoire.
5	And I know this is one of your favorite

And I know this is one of your favorite subjects. And why don't you tell the Panel about some of the BMI writers and genres of music that they operate in, and I'll direct your attention to Exhibit 8 for starters, which is the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame.

And could you tell the Panel about BMI's affiliates and their involvement with the induction into the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame?

A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

BMI is very proud of the fact that we represent 76% of those artists and songwriters that have been inducted into the Rock and Roll Hall of Fame.

It ranges, as you can see from the list, from the Jackson 5, the Bee Gees, the Beatles, Roy Orbison, Booker T and the MG's, Wilson Pickett, Elvis Presley, and the list really goes on and on -- the

	Alman Brothers, John Lennon, and 1 mean, 1 could
2	read these to you, but I think you get the gist of
3	this.
4	Q And how about the Country Music Hall of
5	Fame? And I'd ask you to take a look at Exhibit 9.
6	A Well, we go even higher there. And we
7	have 83% of the inductees into the Country Music Hall
8	of Fame including, but not listed here, and something
9	we are very proud of at BMI, Francis Preston, our
10	president and chief executive officer is also a member
11	of the Country Music Hall of Fame.
12	But on the performer side and the
13	songwriter side, Buck Owens, Ray Price, Roger Miller,
14	Hank Williams, Minnie Pearl, Kittie Wells, Roy Acuff,
15	many of the top, top names in the country music field.
16	Q How about Willie Nelson?
17	A Willie Nelson too. I was with Willie on
18	Saturday night, as a matter of fact.
19	Q Tell us a little bit about BMI's
20	affiliates and the Pioneer Awards for rhythm and
21	blues, Exhibit 10.
22	A Well, BMI represents 90% of the rhythm and

1	blues foundation award winners from the Spinners, to
2	Bo Didley, to Fats Domino, Benny King who wrote "Stand
3	by Me," Little Richard, Wilson Pickett, Aretha
4	Franklin, Etta James, Ruth Brown.
5	Again, the list is quite extensive and
6	goes on and on. We have a very rich tradition at BMI
7	in rhythm and blues music.
8	Q Tell us a little bit about BMI affiliates
9	in the jazz field, if you would, please; and I direct
10	you to Exhibit 11 in that regard.
11	A Well, we've supplied a list here, but the
12	most notable composers in the jazz field are Charlie
13	Parker, Charlie Mingus, Gato Barbieri, Mose Allison,
14	Keith Jarrett, Dave Brubeck, Dave Gruzing.
15	And again, we have a huge list here, but
16	Lionel Hampton, Herbie Hancock, Milt Jackson,
17	Thelonious Monk, Sonny Rollins, and the rest of them
18	are listed here.
19	Q And Exhibit 12 is a list of those BMI
20	affiliates who have received a Down Beat Jazz Pole
21	awards, is that correct?

It is correct.

1	Q And of course I know you'd like to go
2	through all of these one by one, but maybe we'll just
3	leave that for the Panel's further reading pleasure.
4	Tell us a little bit about BMI's classical
5	music affiliates. You had said before that, in fact,
6	classical music was one of the areas in which BMI
7	affiliates conduct themselves, so tell us about that.
8	A Yes; on Exhibit 13, there is it's
9	titled BMI Composers Most Performed by American
10	Orchestras, and a number of the names listed here are
11	also Pulitzer Prize winners.
12	You have John Adams; again Dave Brubeck;
13	Bill Bocum; Otto Looney; Charles Ives; Gunther
14	Schuller; Richard Strauss, a German composer; Maurice
15	Ravel, a french composer.
16	You'll note, out to the side on this
17	exhibit for example, on Maurice Ravel, it says
18	SACEM. That suggests that the original affiliation of
19	this particular composer is with the French
20	organization SACEM.
21	The rights are then granted through to BMI
22	for U.S. representation by that composer.

	OPEN BESSION 1107
1	John Williams, who you all may know of by
2	Star Wars fame, is also a top composer in the
3	orchestral area.
4	Q And I think Exhibit 14 is a list of those
5	BMI Pulitzer Prize winners, is that correct, Ms.
6	Smith?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q We haven't talked about the Grammy's or
9	the Emmies or the Oscars, and I know that's a subject
10	that's close to your heart. And why don't you tell
11	the Panel a little bit about BMI's affiliates and

A Well, one thing we're very proud of this year is the fact that BMI represents four out of the five composers nominated for Oscars in the field of composing for film. Those people are Jerry Goldsmith, who has also previously won an Oscar for his music that was composed for The Omen.

their performances with those various awards.

We have Danny Elfman, who was nominated twice for the films Good Will Hunting and Men in Black. We have David Numan for Anastasia. And the one thing we don't have is James Horner for Titanic,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	but we have four out of the five.
2	Q But you have a piece of the Titanic theme
3	song, don't you?
4	A Yes, we do. Will Jennings, a BMI
5	composer, co-wrote the title song from Titanic, "My
6	Heart Will Go On and On."
7	Q And could you give the Panel some
8	indication of some of the prior Oscar winners that are
9	BMI affiliates? I know you mentioned John Williams.
10	There are others, I'm sure, as well?
11	A Yes, John Williams certainly has won many
12	Oscars. We have Alan Menken of Disney fame. We have,
13	more recently, Elton John and Tim Rice for songs that
14	they wrote for The Lion King. And again, there are
15	lists provided in here that will detail further
16	information on our Oscar winners.
17	Q And tell us briefly about the Grammy
18	awards and how BMI has done with respect to the
19	Grammy's. We've done very well each year in the
20	Grammy's. As a matter of fact, this year we had a
21	really good year.

We had -- well, I'd say the songwriters

1	won, but they were represented by BMI: Kenneth "Baby
2	Face" Edmonds, who has been BMI's Songwriter of the
3	Year for seven years in the a row in the popular music
4	field; David Foster; Paula Cole; Sarah McLaughlin, who
5	is a Canadian composer who is represented by BMI in
6	the U.S.
7	Again, that list goes on and on. But in
8	the Grammy years, we've won songs of the year many
9	times. Julie Gold you may know a song called "From
10	a Distance" she won several years ago. We
11	represent Sting in the United States. They call him
12	"Stang" in Nashville.
13	But we have many, many composers who have
14	been nominated for and won Grammy awards.
15	Q How about Roy Orbison?
16	A Roy Orbison as well. I knew I'd miss one.
17	Q One of my favorites; that's why I asked.
18	I want to turn your attention now to the
19	subject matter of BMI music in public broadcasting
20	programming, and wondered if you could tell the Panel
21	a little bit about how BMI music is being used in
22	programming by public television.

A	BMI	music	is	used	quite	extensi.	vely
througho	ut publi	c telev	isio	n. W	e spec	ifically	have
noted th	e use of	BMI mu	sic i	n chi	lldren'	s program	ming
on PBS l	oecause	childre	n's p	progra	amming	accounts	for
close to	half of	the pro	gram	ming t	that's	available	now
on PBS.							

Q And can you tell the Panel whether BMI has experienced any increase in the use of its music on public television particularly with respect to children's programming?

A Yes, we have.

We've seen an increase over the years of the use of BMI music specifically related to several different programs. But the Barney and Friends Show contains a tremendous amount of BMI music, as does Sesame Street.

We signed the estate of Joe Rapozo, who has done -- or did do quite a bit of work at Sesame Street and in other areas of children's programming.

We have music in the series Wishbone.

These are -- the three that I've just named are in the top five in terms of ratings for PBS, and it goes on

NEAL R. GROSS

1	and on from there.
2	Q And has this increase in the BMI share of
3	the music on children's programming at PBS been
4	something that's happened since 1992?
5	A Yes, we've seen an increase since 1992.
6	Q When was Joe Rapozo or when did Joe
7	Rapozo become an affiliate with his estate with
8	BMI; was that subsequent to 1992?
9	A Yes, it was.
10	Q Now in your written testimony, on page
11	nine, you list various other programs that BMI music
12	appears on PBS in addition to the children's programs
13	you've identified.
14	Could you briefly identify some of those
15	for the Panel, the non-children's programming?
16	A Yes, some of those shows are The American
17	Experience series; Austin City Limits; we've already
18	spoken about Barney; The Charlie Rose Show; Nightly
19	Business Report; NOVA.
20	And the series Rock and Roll, there's an
21	indication in here that 19.3% of the U.S. households
22	actually tuned in to the Rock and Roll series. And we

mentioned earlier about how many of the Rock and Roll
Hall of Fame inductees that BMI represents, and they
were used throughout this series.
Q Now I won't ask you to go through all of

Now I won't ask you to go through all of the other shows that are listed there, but you do provide them on pages ten and 11 and 12 of your written testimony in which you've described the various PBS shows that have won Emmy awards and Peabody awards which have music from BMI -- the BMI repertoire, is that correct?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Lastly, let me ask you just to comment briefly on the use of BMI music on public radio and tell the Panel a little bit about that.

A Again, BMI music is used extensively on public radio, although we don't have an extensive study of that. We have found that, because of the formatting of the NPR affiliated stations, there is musical content of some kind in right at 64, 65% of those stations.

And we do receive from NPR program guides for their network feeds for performances. We have

NEAL R. GROSS

1	noted other performances of syndicated programming
2	that sometimes goes throughout the network of
3	affiliates even though it's not provided by the
4	network.
5	But a show could be syndicated out of a
6	local station. For example, we've mentioned Garrison
7	Keiller Show in here, and it crosses close to 400
8	different stations even though it does not originate
9	as a network feed.
10	And there's BMI music in that.
11	Q Ms. Smith, thank you.
12	MR. KLEINBERG: No further questions.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Mr. Schaeffer, do
14	you have any questions, sir?
15	MR. SCHAEFFER: I have no questions.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.
17	MR. STEIN: One moment, please.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Surely.
19	MR. STEIN: Okay.
20	CROSS EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. STEIN:
22	Q Good morning.

1	A Good morning.
2	Q I'd like to talk with you a little bit
3	about some aspects of what you were testifying to this
4	morning. And I'd like to begin with your statements
5	concerning BMI being formed back in 1939.
6	I think in your testimony, you note that
7	that was done in part to provide competition to ASCAP,
8	correct?
9	A Correct.
LO	Q And that BMI is
L1	(Whereupon, a fire evacuation was
L2	conducted.)
L3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Ms. McGivern, would
L4	you ask Nina let's go off the record.
L5	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off
L6	the record at 10:40 a.m. and went back on
L7	the record at 11:00 a.m.)
L8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Mr. Kleinberg, are
L9	you ready, sir?
20	MR. KLEINBERG: I am, yes. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: It occurs to me
22	it's time for our morning recess.

1	(Laughter.)
2	MR. STEIN: Well, now that I have
3	everybody's attention, let me pick up where I left
4	off.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay, Mr. Stein,
6	please.
7	CROSS EXAMINATION (continued)
8	BY MR. STEIN:
9	Q My last question concerned the fact a
10	statement in your testimony that ASCAP is BMI's
11	principal competitor, correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Okay. Are you aware of any broadcast
14	station of significance which does not obtain licenses
15	from both ASCAP and BMI?
16	A No, I'm not.
17	Q So when you use the word competitor, I
18	take it you don't mean that ASCAP and BMI compete with
19	each other in the sense of trying to convince one
20	radio or television station to take a license from one
21	society versus the other?
22	A No, and I'm not involved in the licensing

1	side, only the distribution side. So when I use the
2	word competitor, it's to sign writers to either
3	organization.
4	Q So in a sense, it's a virtual certainty
5	that most television and radio broadcasters which use
6	music are going to require a license from both
7	societies, correct?
8	A That's my understanding, yes.
9	Q Okay. And it would be fair to say that,
10	from the standpoint of those broadcasters, they are
11	thus confronted with having to negotiate with both
12	societies to arrive at an overall fee level to cover
13	their uses of music, correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Now I also notice in your testimony that
16	you state that BMI's affiliates depend upon BMI to
17	negotiate reasonable fees and terms with the entire
18	spectrum of music users, correct?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. And when you say entire spectrum,
21	I assume that includes PBS and NPR, correct?
22	A Yes.

1	Q Okay. And in your experience, BMI
2	fulfills that obligation, correct?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Now you were describing in your testimony
5	the process whereby BMI obtains license fees and then
6	distributes those fees to its members, right?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Okay. And those are performance rights
9	fees, correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q Okay. But, in addition to the performance
12	rights fees which BMI distributes, there are other
13	sources of income for writers and composers, correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. Let's focus for the moment on
16	television and film composers. When I refer to
17	writing original I'm sorry, excuse me.
18	There are television and film composers
19	who specialize in writing original music for those
20	media, correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. And when I talk about writing

1	(Fire evaluation comments.)
2	MR. STEIN: I would move to have those
3	comments stricken from the record, Your Honor.
4	(Laughter.)
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: So ordered.
6	MR. STEIN: Thank you.
7	BY MR. STEIN:
8	Q We were talking about composers who write
9	original music. And I just want to make sure that we
10	have the same understanding that writing original
11	music refers to a process whereby a composer is hired
12	to write music which doesn't exist for inclusion in a
13	program that's being produced, correct?
14	A Okay.
15	Q Okay, now in those cases, it's true, is it
16	not, that the writer and composer can and, in fact,
17	usually do receive what's sometime are called "up
18	front fees" for writing that original music, correct?
19	A They may and they may not.
20	Q But there are certainly circumstances
21	where they do?
22	A Correct.

1	Q Okay. And typically, in the industry,
2	they may sign something called a "composer for hire
3	agreement," correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Okay. And that will set forth the amount
6	that they're supposed to be paid and the other terms
7	and conditions for their writing this music, correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q Okay. Let me turn your attention to the
10	Wishbone cue sheet which Mr. Kleinberg referred you to
11	for
12	MR. STEIN: I'm sorry, that's Exhibit 3,
13	Your Honor.
14	BY MR. STEIN:
15	Q And I believe that was the cue sheet for
16	episode 137, Pantin' at the Opera, the third page in
17	of that exhibit.
18	A Correct.
19	Q Okay. Directing your attention to the
20	last or perhaps it's the third to last column on
21	the cue sheet entitled Source Type, do you see that
22	column?

1	A Yes, I do.
2	Q Okay. And in that column are the words
3	"for each piece of music contained in this program
4	specially composed, correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q And that refers to the fact that the
7	writer produced or wrote that composition specifically
8	for this program, correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q It did not
11	A I would assume that that's what this
12	means. That's what it typically means.
13	Q Okay, and so typically, Mr. Cissell or Mr.
14	Merriman would negotiate a composer for hire agreement
15	for purposes of producing that music, correct?
16	A Again, they may and may not; I don't know
17	what their agreements their specific agreements
18	were on this program.
19	Q Is it your experience, with respect to
20	things like children's programming and the composers
21	who write the music for that, that they do negotiate
22	composer for hire agreements with the producer?

1	A Usually, yes.
2	Q Okay. And you are not, I assume, aware of
3	any compulsory license which forces a writer or a
4	composer to produce original music for any program,
5	are you?
6	A No.
7	Q They're free to decide to do that or not
8	to do that as they please?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. Now
11	JUDGE DREYFUS: Before you leave that
12	point, Counsel, could we ask the witness if there's
13	something different between specially composed and
14	specially composed for PBS? Is there a difference?
15	I mean, there's a difference in words, but does it
16	mean anything different?
17	THE WITNESS: The specially composed most
18	likely means that they compose the music, Tim Cissell
19	and Lynn Adler, for the production company or the
20	distributor of the programming.
21	The last cue on there is a system cue or
22	what's known in the industry as a logo that would

1	identify, in this case, probably PBS, and it would
2	have been written for PBS as opposed to for the
3	production company.
4	JUDGE DREYFUS: I see.
5	BY MR. STEIN:
6	Q Before we leave that document, I'd just
7	like to clarify that, with respect to this show, given
8	the information on the cue sheet, all of the music
9	contained in that show was specially composed,
10	correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Okay. Let me now move on oh, could you
13	clarify what you mean by logo in response to Judge
14	Dreyfus' question?
15	A Yes, a logo is typically an identifier for
16	a production company or a network where you have music
17	behind an identifying piece of visual effect.
18	Q Let me now direct your attention to
19	Exhibits let's start with Exhibit 17 of BMI's
20	direct case.
21	Am I correct that you are sponsoring

Exhibit 17 through 20 which are articles describing

1	the music business, is that correct?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q Okay. Could you tell me and we can
4	take them in turn what the source of these articles
5	are? Maybe we should start with 17 Exhibit 17.
6	A I'm not certain, but I believe these came
7	from the <u>Hollywood Reporter</u> .
8	Q Okay.
9	A They do a special issue on composing for
10	film and television. I believe that's where these
11	came from.
12	Q Would that be true for Exhibits 18, 19 and
13	20 as well?
14	A Eighteen definitely because you see the
15	<u>Hollywood Reporter</u> masthead across the top. Steve
16	Dorff's article
17	Q That's Exhibit 19, yes?
18	A Yes, Exhibit 19 also looks like it's from
19	the <u>Hollywood Reporter</u> special.
20	Q Okay, and Exhibit 20?
21	A The same.
22	Q Now you didn't write these articles,

1	correct?
2	A No, I did not.
3	Q And you didn't take to independently
4	verify the facts contained in these articles, correct?
5	A No.
6	Q Okay. But I assume you nonetheless
7	believe them to be accurate?
8	A Yes, for the most part.
9	Q Okay, and in that regard, you included
10	them for purposes of providing the Panel with some
11	background on the current business climate with
12	respect to, I think you phrased it, "the process of
13	composing music for films and television, " correct?
14	A Correct.
15	Q Okay. So I take it that you agree with
16	the statement at the second page, and I'm now looking
17	at Exhibit 17. That page has a designation I'm
18	looking at the top of the page which it's the
19	second page of the exhibit, although there's not a
20	page number clearly noted on it.
21	You agree with the statement by Universal
22	Television senior VP of music Derek Platt that

1	television "music budgets are being cut," is that
2	correct?
3	And I'm referring now to the last full
4	paragraph in the first column. You'll see the
5	quotation from Mr. Platt "so it bothers me that music
6	budgets are being cut," the last sentence in that
7	paragraph.
8	A I'm sorry, I still can't find it.
9	Q The first column.
10	A Oh, I see it, okay.
11	Q The last sentence of the last full
12	paragraph in that column. "So it bothers me that
13	music budgets are being cut."
14	Do you agree that that's an accurate
15	statement?
16	A Yes, I would agree with that.
17	Q Okay. And similarly, appearing in the
18	next column, the last full paragraph on that page, do
19	you agree with the statement that "there is a major
20	trend towards package deals with composers as a
21	function of declining show budgets," correct?
22	A Correct.

1	Q Okay. And similarly, in the next column,
2	do you agree with the statement that "music budgets
3	have dropped enormously," correct?
4	A I'd say it's different from one program to
5	the next, so I don't know as I necessarily agree with
6	these as global statements. It would be each
7	individual program, some budgets are being cut.
8	Others may have huge budgets.
9	Q Let me direct your attention perhaps to
10	the next page, the first column. I take it you agree
11	with the statement that "creative" now I'm looking
12	at the first full sentence on that page "creative
13	fees for TV scores haven't risen in the past decade,"
14	correct?
15	A Again, this is on the next page, on page
16	15?
17	Q Yes, that's correct. I'm looking at the
18	first full sentence.
19	A I'm not that familiar with the creative
20	fees in terms of whether they haven't risen at all in
21	the past decade. I just don't know.
22	O Okay, let's leave that for the moment

1	Isn't it true that PBS and NPR often
2	provide an outlet for music or types of programming
3	which don't necessarily get heard elsewhere?
4	A What do you mean by elsewhere?
5	Q On other broadcast media.
6	A Well, there's children's programming on
7	local television like Fox Kids Network or on cable
8	television overseas there's children's programming.
9	There's other types of programming on PBS.
10	Q Let me give you an example.
11	A Okay.
12	Q With respect to public radio, doesn't
13	public radio have a history of airing programming
14	including music programming which might not get air
15	play on commercial radio?
16	A It's hard to tell. I mean, there are
17	commercial stations that have jazz formats, that have
18	classical formats, that have similar formats to the
19	PBS, NPR stations.
20	Q Well, are you familiar, for example, with
21	the fact that NPR runs a program which focuses on
22	Celtic music called Thistle and Shamrock?

1	A No, I'm not familiar with that program.
2	Q Is it your understanding that Celtic music
3	is the focus of commercial radio?
4	A No, that's not my understanding.
5	Q Okay. Now would you agree perhaps, with
6	respect to Celtic music, that public radio is
7	providing an outlet which might not be available in
8	commercial radio for those composers?
9	A Probably so, yes.
10	Q Okay. Now in that circumstance, it's
11	correct that the composer is getting air play, and
12	hence performing rights income, that he or she would
13	not otherwise receive in the absence of a
14	noncommercial radio outlet for his or her music,
15	correct?
16	A If that music was not broadcast on any
17	other commercial outlet, then the answer to that would
18	be yes.
19	Q Now with respect to BMI music in public
20	television programming, I think you note that
21	children's programming is an important part of public
22	television, correct?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q Okay, and I think you stated in your
3	direct testimony that almost half of PBS network air
4	time is devoted to children's programming, correct?
5	A Correct; that's what we found, yes.
6	Q Are you aware of any commercial television
7	station which devotes half of its air time to
8	programming for children let me rephrase that.
9	Are you aware of any commercial broadcast
10	television station which devotes 42% of its
11	programming to children?
12	A No, I'm not.
13	Q Okay.
14	MR. STEIN: Let me introduce and have
15	marked as I'm sorry, let me offer into evidence
16	I'm sorry, let me offer for identification a document
17	entitled BMI Payment Schedule bearing Bates number
18	BMI533 to be marked as I believe it's Exhibit PB
19	17X?
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I think it's 16.
21	It will be marked as PB Exhibit 16X.
22	(Whereupon, the above-mentioned

1	document was marked as PB
2	Exhibit 16X for
3	identification.)
4	BY MR. STEIN:
5	Q I'll represent to you that this is a
6	document which was produced by BMI's counsel in this
7	case, okay?
8	A Okay.
9	Q Okay. Now you are familiar with this
LO	document, Ms. Smith?
L1	A Yes, I am.
L2	Q Okay, and this document is BMI's current
L3	form of payment schedule, is that correct?
L4	A It is.
L5	Q Okay. Now in terms of programs which are
L6	broadcast on television and radio, is it correct that
L7	the music in those programs is generally classified as
L8	feature, theme and background?
L9	A Generally, yes.
20	Q Okay. And am I correct that BMI places
21	different values on feature, theme and background uses
22	of music for purposes of distributing funds to its

1	affiliates?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Okay. For example, let me again direct
4	your attention to the Wishbone cue sheet of Exhibit 3.
5	Again, this is the third page, Wishbone Pantin' at the
6	Opera.
7	In column two entitled Music Title or
8	Description, there is information which sets forth the
9	type of use, correct?
10	A Correct; and also, further over to the
11	right, there is a usage column.
12	Q Okay. So, for example, in that usage
13	column, the first que, "What's the Story, Wishbone,"
14	is a theme, correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Okay, and the second que, in comparison,
17	an instrumental underscore, is background, correct?
18	A This would be various ques summarized; but
19	yes, they are all used as background music or
20	underscore.
21	Q Okay. And in this particular cue sheet,
22	am I correct that there does not appear to be a

1	feature use of music, at least as described therein?
2	A In this cue sheet, you are correct.
3	Q Okay. But okay.
4	All right, directing your attention now
5	back to BMI Payment Schedule, Exhibit 17X, if we flip
6	to the bottom of page one over to page two
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 16X.
8	MR. STEIN: I'm sorry, 16X. Excuse me.
9	BY MR. STEIN:
10	Q It's correct that page one over to page
11	two sets forth the payment rules followed by BMI with
12	respect to performances on radio?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Okay. And judging from the fact that that
15	page is broken down between feature performances,
16	theme performances and background music performances,
17	I assume it's correct, as an initial matter, that you
18	pay differently for each of those types of music?
19	A Yes, and the rates are stated in there.
20	And for background music, there's not a payment made.
21	Q Okay, let's talk about that for a second.
22	That means that if there's background

1	music used on radio, the composer of that music does
2	not receive any payment from BMI
3	A That's correct
4	Q for the use of that music?
5	A under this payment schedule.
6	Q Okay. Now at the top of page two, the
7	rules governing payment for feature uses of music on
8	radio are set forth, correct?
9	A I'm sorry, what page?
10	Q Page two, the top, beginning with this
11	is a carry over from page one where the title of that
12	column is, I think, listed. But this top of the page,
13	I believe, is referring to payment for feature uses of
14	music on radio, correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Okay. Now as I believe is reflected in
17	the two columns directly appearing under the word
18	local, BMI makes a distinction between the value of
19	music appearing on the top 25% of the nation's radic
20	stations versus programs airing on the bottom 75% of
21	the nation's stations, correct?
	II

Α

Correct.

MR. KLEINBERG: I'm going to lodge an objection at this point in time. I sort of let it go on because I wasn't sure how long we were going. But it seems to me this does go beyond the scope of the direct in terms of what the witness had testified before in her direct testimony, and it just strikes me as beyond what reasonably she testified about.

It didn't relate to the payment schedule itself other than it was a payment schedule.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Mr. Stein.

MR. STEIN: The witness did testify that she's responsible for the preparation of this document and that she, within her scope of responsibility, is responsible for the dissemination of royalty payments to BMI members. That's included both in her written testimony and her direct testimony.

Further, at page nine of her testimony, she notes that PBS has made extensive use of either feature, theme or background music written by BMI composers, and I think we're clearly entitled to explore her knowledge with respect to the subject matter.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	MR. KLEINBERG: Well, if I might
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Wait.
3	MR. KLEINBERG: react to that just for
4	the record.
5	In merely describing what her area of
6	responsibility has been and her job description, that
7	doesn't mean that that's the subject matter of cross
8	examination. Similarly, reference to the extent of
9	use of feature, theme or background on PBS isn't the
10	same thing about how it gets paid for and what the
11	payment schedule is.
12	So I think it's way beyond what she
13	testified about.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, the
15	objection's overruled.
16	Go ahead.
17	MR. STEIN: Thank you.
18	BY MR. STEIN:
19	Q We were talking about the fact that
20	payments there's a distinction between the value of
21	music appearing on the top 25% of the stations versus
22	the bottom 75% of the stations, correct?

1	A Correct.
2	Q So, for example, if I'm looking at the top
3	of page two, performances of popular songs on local
4	programs aired by the top quarter of the stations get
5	12 cents versus six cents for a popular song on the
6	bottom three-quarters, correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q Okay. And it's also correct, is it not,
9	that the public radio stations in the United States
10	fall into the bottom three-quarters of the radio
11	universe, correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Now directing your attention to payments
14	for theme performances on radio at the towards the
15	bottom of that page, it's also correct that radio
16	theme performances are credited at about half the
17	value of a feature performance, correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Okay. Let's shift if we can now to BMI's
20	television payment rules which appear on page which
21	commence on page three of that document.
22	Now am I correct that a feature

1	performance of a popular song on local television
2	generates a base payment of \$1.50?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Okay. And in comparison, if you go over
5	to page four which is referring to theme performances,
6	I believe, a theme performance of music on a local
7	television station would generate a base payment of 46
8	cents, correct?
9	A Correct.
10	Q So as with radio, BMI generally values a
11	feature performance in local television more highly
L2	than a theme performance, correct?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Okay. And for a background use of music,
L5	a station would generate a base payment of 42 cents
L6	per minute as reflected again under the heading U.S.
17	Television Background Music, correct?
18	A Correct, it's 42 cents per minute.
19	Q Okay. So for purposes of comparison, if
20	you have the same popular song played on local
21	television for one minute, it would generate a base
22	payment in descending order as follows:

1	You'd have \$1.50 payment if it was played
2	as a feature, correct?
3	A I'm sorry, can you start over again?
4	Q Sure. Let's assume you have a piece of
5	music and it's played for one minute.
6	A Okay.
7	Q And it's played if it's played as a
8	feature, it gets paid \$1.50, correct?
9	A Correct.
10	Q And if it's played as a theme, it gets 46
11	cents, correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And if it's played as background, it gets
14	42 cents?
15	A Correct.
16	Q Now I think earlier you had mentioned that
17	distributions in the BMI course of business are made
18	quarterly. Is that right?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Let me ask you to look at the last I'm
21	sorry, page six of this document under the title
22	Public Broadcasting. It says there that payments for

1	performances on National Public Radio stations and
2	public broadcasting system television station is made
3	annually.
4	Now am I to take that to mean that, with
5	respect to those media, payment is not made quarterly?
6	A No, we do now make payment quarterly for
7	all domestic sources in here. Also, you can note that
8	it says cable distributions are made semiannually.
9	Those are also now made quarterly.
10	Q Okay, thank you.
11	Finally, while we're on this page, let me
12	direct your attention to the discussion concerning
13	bonus payments. Without going into this in too much
14	detail, it's correct, is it not, that performances on
15	public broadcasting entities are not eligible for
16	bonus payment, correct?
17	A The performances themselves, no.
18	Q Okay, thank you.
19	Now you're familiar with the phrase public
20	domain insofar as it relates to U.S. Copyright Law?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. And in the copyright context, a

1	public domain work is a work which is no longer
2	afforded copyright protection typically because the
3	term of copyright has expired, correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Okay. So in the case of a musical
6	composition, for example, once a composition enters
7	the public domain, the composer is no longer entitled
8	to receive a royalty for the performance of that work,
9	correct?
LO	MR. KLEINBERG: I'll object to the form of
L1	the question. Calls for a legal conclusion beyond the
L2	scope of the direct.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Do you want to
14	respond, Mr. Stein?
L5	MR. STEIN: She knows the answer.
L6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Pardon me?
L7	MR. STEIN: I think she understands the
18	question and can answer.
L9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Well, the objection
20	is that it calls for a legal conclusion.
21	MR. STEIN: I don't
22	MR. KLEINBERG: And is beyond the scope.

1	MR. STEIN: I don't think it's beyond the
2	scope. As we've discussed, she's familiar with their
3	practices concerning payment for the distribution of
4	works,
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.
6	MR. STEIN: and I think she's also
7	familiar with how they pay with respect to works that
8	are or not in the public domain.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Can you answer that
10	question?
11	THE WITNESS: With respect to works that
12	are well, yes, I think I can answer that question.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, go
14	ahead.
15	THE WITNESS: With respect to works that
16	are in the public domain, if there is a copywritten
17	arrangement of that particular work, we would make a
18	distribution to the arranger of that particular work.
19	BY MR. STEIN:
20	Q Okay, and can you describe what you mean
21	by copyrighted arrangement?
22	A If a composer copyrights an arrangement of

1	a work that had fallen into the public domain and it
2	was used and broadcast an original arrangement, then
3	we would make a distribution to the arranger in that
4	case.
5	Q Okay, and when you're talking
6	A And a publisher, excuse me, of the
7	arrangement as well.
8	Q When you're referring to that copyrighted
9	arrangement, you're basically describing a situation
LO	where a composer has taken an underlying musical
L1	composition and either rewritten the lyrics or
L2	modified the arrangement in some fashion, correct?
L3	A Some modification to the original work,
L4	yes.
L5	Q Okay. And typically, the person who is
L6	doing that is not the person who wrote the original
L7	underlying musical composition, correct?
L8	A Typically, yes.
L9	Q Okay. How does BMI distribute money for
20	performances of copyrighted arrangements?
21	A We distribute are you asking for a rate
22	or are you asking for

1	Q How does it compare with respect to
2	payments for a work that's not a copyrighted
3	arrangement?
4	A We typically distribute at a rate of 20%
5	of the otherwise applicable rate. There are some
6	exceptions to that, however.
7	Q Okay. So in the case of a copyrighted
8	arrangement, BMI pays less than it would for an
9	analogous performance of a work that's not in the
LO	public domain?
L1	A Correct.
L2	Q Okay. Let me direct your attention to BMI
L3	Exhibit 2. These are cue sheets
L4	JUDGE DREYFUS: Before you go on, you have
L5	not offered this into evidence.
L6	MR. STEIN: I'm sorry, let me do that. I
L7	offer it into evidence. This is the BMI Payment
L8	Schedule.
L9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Any objection?
20	MR. KLEINBERG: No.
21	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: It will be
22	received.

1	(Whereupon, the above-mentioned
2	document, previously marked as
3	PB Exhibit 16X for
4	identification, was received in
5	evidence.)
6	MR. STEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	BY MR. STEIN:
8	Q BMI Exhibit 2 is a collection of cue
9	sheets for the program Barney and Friends which I
10	think you mentioned earlier, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q Okay. Now looking at the first page of
13	this exhibit, and similar to the Wishbone cue sheet we
14	looked at earlier, it's correct that this is a cue
15	sheet for Barney program number 115, "Let's Help
16	Mother Goose?"
17	A Correct.
18	Q Okay. And it sets forth the musical
19	compositions which are used in that program, correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Okay. Now and similar to the Wishbone
22	cue sheet, it reflects the cue number, the music

1	title, the music by lyrics and by arrangement, the
2	publisher, the length of the cue, the usage, the
3	performing rights affiliation, correct?
4	A Yes, all that's here.
5	Q Okay. And, for example, in the usage
6	column, if you look at cue number two, you see there
7	an indication that that's a feature use, correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q So whereas Wishbone had no feature uses of
10	music, this program did have some feature uses of
11	music, correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Okay. Now when I look at am I correct
14	that, upon examination of the third column of this cue
15	sheet, the designation and I think you'll see it in
16	various of the entries PD before the name of a work
17	indicates that the underlying musical composition is
18	in the public domain?
19	A Yes, that's typically what that means.
20	Q Okay. So, for example, the Barney theme
21	song is "Yankee Doodle," which is in the public

domain?

1	A The Barney theme song is indicated here as
2	being used as the basis of the theme song to Barney.
3	It may not be the same lyric as you suggested earlier.
4	There may be some difference to "Yankee Doodle" as we
5	all know "Yankee Doodle."
6	Q But the music would be identifiable to
7	somebody familiar with the "Yankee Doodle" tune, and
8	I will not attempt to sing it, as "Yankee Doodle,"
9	correct?
10	A Probably. I haven't watched Barney
11	lately, but
12	Q Okay. Now I notice that if you look at
13	this cue sheet, several other works features four,
14	five, six, seven, eight and nine are also based upon
15	public domain works, correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Okay. For example, feature nine is done
18	to the public domain work "This Old Man, He Played
19	One," etc., correct?
20	A Correct.
21	Q Okay. Now similarly
22	A That does have a new lyric.

1	Q Similarly, if you go to the next cue sheet
2	which is for Exhibit 122, "A Camping We Will Go,"
3	I'm sorry, episode 122, "A Camping We Will Go," is it
4	correct that virtually all of the feature uses of
5	music in this program are based upon public domain
6	works?
7	A No, I can see number six looks like an
8	original composition by Bob Singleton and Phil Parker,
9	"We Like Rocks." Number ten also is an original
10	composition by Phil Parker featured "S'Mores." The
11	number 11 following to the next page, "Ricky Raccoon."
12	Q Let me rephrase.
13	Would you agree that most of the feature
14	uses of music in this episode were based upon public
15	domain works? And now I'm including cues two, three,
16	four, five, seven, eight, nine, 12, 14 and 15?
17	A Looks like about three-quarters of them
18	are.
19	Q Okay. Isn't it true that Barney tends to
20	include many works from the public domain?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And therefore, consistent with your

1	earlier testimony, a composer such as Mr. Singleton,
2	who you have identified as a composer for Barney, gets
3	paid for the performance of "This Old Man" or "Yankee
4	Doodle" less than he would receive if the underlying
5	work were his own original composition, correct?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Now I think earlier you mentioned that one
8	of the reasons for BMI's purported increase in share
9	is the fact that Mr. Rapozo's estate, the writer for
10	Sesame Street, became part of the BMI repertory since
11	1992, is that correct?
12	A Yes, that was one of the increases, yes.
13	Q And Mr. Rapozo's estate transferred from
14	ASCAP, isn't that correct?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Okay. So I take it that since BMI's share
17	has gone up as a result of Mr. Rapozo's estate being
18	transferred to BMI, ASCAP's share must have gone down,
19	correct?
20	A I have not analyzed ASCAP's share.
21	Q Okay. But the underlying Sesame Street
22	episodes are still the same; it's just that the artist

1	has transferred from one society to the other,
2	correct?
3	A In the case of that series, yes.
4	Q Okay.
5	MR. SCHAEFFER: I object. That doesn't
6	show that ASCAP's share decreased.
7	MR. STEIN: I have no further questions.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Mr. Schaeffer, what
9	was that again?
10	MR. SCHAEFFER: I object to the fact that
11	there's been a shift. It doesn't mean that ASCAP's
12	overall share
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Well, she didn't
14	answer. She didn't have any information.
15	MR. SCHAEFFER: Oh, I'm sorry.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, nothing
17	further?
18	MR. STEIN: Nothing further, Your Honor.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, any
20	redirect?
21	MR. KLEINBERG: Just a couple questions,
22	please.
- 1	1

1	May I take a two minute break to confer
2	with my colleagues, please?
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: We can take
4	THE WITNESS: May I run to the ladies'
5	room?
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Now we're up to
7	seven minutes.
8	(Laugher.)
9	THE WITNESS: I'll be quick.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you.
11	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off
12	the record at 11:37 a.m. and went back on
13	the record at 11:46 a.m.)
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right,
15	gentlemen.
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. KLEINBERG:
18	Q Ms. Smith, you were asked some questions
19	by Mr. Stein about the various rates that appear on
20	the payment schedule for television and radio
21	performances. Do you recall those questions?
22	A Yes.

1	Q And you told him that the payment schedule
2	had a certain amount for a feature performance on
3	local television and the like.
4	Do those rates, those amounts, apply to
5	public broadcasting performances?
6	A The local television applies or acts as
7	the starting point for public television.
8	Q Starting point. And where does it go from
9	the starting point?
10	A Way down.
11	Q In other words, you use the local
12	television rate as a starting point and then you take
13	a discount off of that rate in terms of the
14	distributions for public television performances?
15	A Yes, because the amount of money available
16	for the distribution is so low that the rate ends up
17	as anywhere from 10 to 15% recently of the local base
18	rate as stated in the payment schedule.
19	Q So if I understand you, you compare to the
20	local television rate for starting purposes, but then
21	you apply the discount to get to the actual rate that
22	you're able to pay for public television?

1	A Yes, the starting point for feature,
2	theme, background is the local broadcast rate as it
3	applies to PBS.
4	Q And on page six of the payment schedule,
5	PB Exhibit 16, under the heading Public Broadcasting,
6	there's a statement that says at the end "and the
7	amount of the license fee received from the stations
8	by BMI."
9	Does that mean that the pool of money
10	available for distribution for performances on public
11	TV and public radio is limited to the amount of the
12	license fees received by BMI from those sources?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q You also were asked some questions about
15	Celtic music that apparently Mr. Stein says isn't
16	played on commercial radio, but is on NPR.
17	Do you recall that question?
18	A I do.
19	Q Do you have a view as to whether
20	compensation to the writers of that Celtic performance
21	that Celtic music should be particularly lower than
22	what it would be if it was on commercial radio?

1	A I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understand what
2	you
3	Q Do you think that the writers of the
4	Celtic music are entitled to be paid for their
5	performances on NPR?
6	A Yes.
7	Q You testified also about some questions
8	you had some questions asked to you about arrangements
9	of music on Barney, for example.
10	A Correct.
11	Q Do you know whether music based on public
12	domain that's been arranged under a copyrighted
13	arrangement is also performed on commercial
14	broadcasting?
15	A Yes, it is.
16	Q It's not unique to public broadcasting, is
17	it?
18	A No, it is not.
19	Q And you were shown some articles that you
20	had attached to your or referred to in your
20 21	had attached to your or referred to in your testimony about composing, those various <u>Hollywood</u>

1	A Right.
2	Q And you were asked some questions with
3	respect to whether budgets on network shows were going
4	down. Do you recall those questions
5	A I do.
6	Q for music?
7	Do you know whether the music budgets for
8	public broadcasting programs have been going down?
9	A No, I don't know.
10	MR. KLEINBERG: Nothing further. Thank
11	you.
12	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.
13	Anything further?
14	MR. SCHAEFFER: I have a few.
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay.
16	MR. SCHAEFFER: First of all, having some
17	loyalty to a beloved first wife who came from
18	Carrickgold in Ireland, I want to rise to the defense
19	of Celtic music and not Celtic (phonetic) music, Mr.
20	Kleinberg.
21	(Laughter.)
22	CROSS EXAMINATION

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	BY MR. SCHAEFFER:
2	Q But just as a question or two, have you
3	ever heard of the musical pieces in something called
4	Riverdance?
5	A Yes, I have.
6	Q Do you know where that music what
7	country that music comes from?
8	A Ireland.
9	Q Much to my disquiet, I hate to go into the
10	BMI rep, but it seems to me you mentioned somebody
11	called a group called the Chieftains?
12	A Correct.
13	Q Is that an Irish group?
14	A I'm not sure if the Chieftains are Irish
15	or not.
16	Q Isn't there a how about U2; that's
17	Irish?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And what about Sinead O'Connor, that's
20	pretty Irish too?
21	A Sinead is Irish, that is correct.
22	Q I see. And just moving on for a bit, you

1	were asked a number of questions about or a couple
2	of questions anyway about how unique children's
3	television is on public television, is that right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q Are you aware that, on cable television,
6	there are a number of services that provide groups of
7	children's programs everyday of the week, seven days
8	of the week?
9	A Yes, I am.
10	Q For example, is there something called
11	Nickelodeon?
12	A There is.
13	Q Do you know what Nickelodeon concentrates
14	on in the mornings?
15	A Children's programming.
16	Q And is there something called Fox Kids
17	Network?
18	A Yes, I mentioned Fox Kids.
19	Q I think you did. I'm sorry.
20	How about the Family Channel; that has
21	children's programming on the cable in the mornings,
22	doesn't it?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q And what about the Cartoon Network; that's
3	for children also, isn't it?
4	A It's wall to wall cartoons.
5	MR. STEIN: I'm just going to object.
6	He's leading the witness.
7	MR. SCHAEFFER: I'm on cross examination.
8	It's not my witness.
9	BY MR. SCHAEFFER:
10	Q And how about Kids Warner Brothers; that's
11	a biggie too, isn't it?
12	A Kids Warner Brothers?
13	Q Yes, isn't there a show that Warner
14	Brothers has for kids?
15	A I'm not familiar with that.
16	Q Well, then I'll stop bothering you about
17	that.
18	Incidentally, on Exhibit by the way,
19	those shows are all on cable as opposed to network
20	television, but I guess you weren't asked about cable;
21	you were asked about network, right, on cross?
22	A Right, but Fox

1	Q Yes.
2	A is on local television
3	Q I see.
4	A local broadcast, not on network
5	television.
6	Q Thank you.
7	Exhibit 16X, the BMI Payment Schedule,
8	that really has nothing to do with my client, ASCAP,
9	does it?
10	A No.
11	Q And you don't work the same way as ASCAP
12	in that regard?
13	A No, we don't.
14	Q And finally, with some deference to Mr.
15	Salzman who was really a help in this, doing an
16	arrangement or a variation on something in the public
17	domain doesn't necessarily mean that it's a shabby
18	piece, does it?
19	A Not at all.
20	Q For example, there's something called
21	Variations on a Theme by Paganini, by Rachmaninov.
22	There is Brahms Variations on a Theme by Haydn, and

1	there is thank you, Mr. Salzman Stravinsky's
2	Variations on a Theme From Happy Birthday, isn't
3	there?
4	A I believe those are actual
5	Q You wouldn't say that those three pieces
6	from Stravinsky, Brahms and Rachmaninov are shabby,
7	would you?
8	A I'm not familiar with the pieces, but I
9	would not say that they are shabby given the names
10	that you have just indicated.
11	Q Or necessarily less valuable than other
12	classical pieces?
13	A No.
14	Q Thank you.
15	MR. STEIN: One moment.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.
17	MR. STEIN: No further questions, Your
18	Honor.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.
20	May this witness be excused?
21	MR. KLEINBERG: She may.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Ms. Smith, you're

1	free to go. Thank you very much, ma'am.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3	(The witness was excused.)
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, Mr.
5	Kleinberg.
6	MR. KLEINBERG: The next witness is
7	Fredric J. Willms.
8	Whereupon,
9	FREDRIC J. WILLMS
10	was called as a witness and, after having been first
11	duly sworn, assumed the witness stand, was examined
12	and testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. KLEINBERG:
15	Q Mr. Willms, would you state your name for
16	the record, please?
17	A Fredric Willms.
18	Q And are you employed, sir?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Where are you employed?
21	A At BMI.
22	Q And what position do you current hold at

1	BMI?
2	A Senior Vice President of Finance and
3	Administration and Chief Financial Officer.
4	Q And how long have you been at employed
5	by BMI?
6	A Since February of 1989.
7	Q And how long have you occupied the current
8	position?
9	A Just a month.
10	Q And what position did you occupy before
11	that?
12	A From October of 1993, I was Senior Vice
13	President of Finance and Operations, small
14	distinction, and Chief Financial Officer.
15	Q Could you describe for the Panel what
16	responsibilities those positions have entailed while
17	you have been at BMI?
18	A Yes.
19	As chief financial officer, I am
20	responsible for the books of BMI. And in the
21	operations post, I was responsible for overseeing
22	information technology that we used to call systems

1	and data processing in operations, which is really our											
2	documentation group that deals with identifying											
3	performances and maintaining our cue sheet and title											
4	and participant databases.											
5	Q And have you had experience in connection											
6	with BMI's monitoring of or accounting of music											
7	performances for purposes of distribution?											
8	A Yes.											
9	Q And have you had any involvement in BMI's											
10	licensing activities with respect to music users?											
11	A From the point of view of participating in											
12	major negotiations with radio and television, I have											
13	had that involvement.											
14	Q And by that, you mean personal appearance											
15	at negotiation sessions?											
16	A That's correct.											
17	Q And have you also had involvement with											
18	respect to public broadcasting license arrangements?											
19	A As a member of senior management, I was											
20	involved in the internal discussions prior to											
21	negotiations.											
22	Q Could you tell the Panel briefly what you											

1	did prior to joining BMI in 1989?
2	A I was with Capital EMI Music for 20 years
3	in a variety of roles, including finance and
4	operations involved with music publishing, magnetic
5	products, retail and music video. And I also was head
6	of the music publishing operation for that company.
7	And my last position was chief financial
8	officer and member of the board of directors.
9	Q And could you tell the Panel what business
10	Capital EMI Music, Inc. was involved in?
11	A It's primarily in the record business,
12	although it's also in the music publishing business
13	and some of these other ancillary businesses.
14	Q And is it correct, Mr. Willms, that you're
15	a member of senior management at BMI?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q Now could you describe for the Panel, Mr.
18	Willms, what BMI's proposed license fee in this
19	proceeding is for the public television and radio
20	stations?
21	A Yes, the fee that's being requested is
22	\$6.895 million dollars broken down into \$5.5 million

1	for public television and \$1.395 million for NPR.
2	Q And is that license fee per year for the
3	next five years?
4	A That's correct, for the years 1998 and
5	forward.
6	Q And does BMI also have an alternative fee
7	proposal that is part of this proceeding?
8	A Yes.
9	In regard to public television, BMI has
10	calculated its music share as 38.6% and feels that it
11	should receive that percentage of any combined award
12	or negotiated amount for ASCAP, SESAC and BMI.
13	Q And is that a minimum, sir?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And when you say BMI has calculated its
16	music share at 38.6%, what are you referring to of
17	what?
18	A That's BMI's share of total identified
19	music for the year 1996.
20	Q And performed by whom?
21	A As a result of the use of BMI repertoire.
22	Q On public broadcasting television
- 1	

1	stations?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q And is that is the universe all music
4	that appears on public television, including public
5	domain?
6	A Yes, that's correct.
7	Q And would BMI's share of copyrighted music
8	on public television be different from the 38.6 number
9	that you gave?
10	A Yes, it would.
11	If public domain music were five and a
12	half percent, as I think has been stated sometime in
13	these proceedings, BMI's share would be 40.8%.
14	Q Now, the fees that BMI have proposed would
15	cover what?
16	A The approach would be to propose a blanket
17	license, as has heretofore been in effect, and so that
18	public television and radio would be entitled to use
19	the entire BMI repertoire on a blanket license basis.
20	Q And that would include both the network
21	programming supplied by PBS and NPR and PRI, as well
22	as all local programming or syndicated programming

1	that appears on any of the individual public
2	broadcasting television radio stations?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Could you explain for the Panel generally
5	how BMI arrived at the fee proposal that you have just
6	described?
7	A The general approach was to take the
8	closest thing that could be compared to public
9	television and that and radio, and that was
10	commercial television and radio and look at the
11	fees that BMI was receiving in those areas and apply
12	that ratio to the revenues of public television and
13	radio.
14	Q Could you explain for the Panel why you
15	have chosen to look at commercial and noncommercial
16	television? Let's start with television for the first
17	instance.
18	A Because there are so many similarities
19	between public television and commercial television.
20	Q Could you tell us that?
21	A All right. The type of programming
22	similar, the types of programs such as children's or

ĺ	
	news or drama or comedy or all of the kinds of
	programming you see on commercial television also
	appear on public television. The production values
	are similar. I think PBS has superior production
	values. And the use of music is similar on public
	television and commercial television.
	Q Could you focus on the music use subject
	you've just talked about and tell us what similarities
	you find to apply between the use of music or

commercial and noncommercial television?

A Well, as we have just heard, both commercial and public television use feature, theme, and background music to enhance the programming, and those uses are similar on commercial and public television.

Q Are there any other factors that you have looked to in considering the relationship between commercial and noncommercial television, in terms of the fee that you are seeking?

A The -- we have looked at the fees on commercial television and the revenues that they apply to, and looked at the revenues on public television,

NEAL R. GROSS

1	and used that as a gauge to come up with an
2	approximate fee for public television.
3	Q Have you given any consideration to the
4	appearance of advertising or corporate underwriting on
5	public television as a factor that has pertained to
6	your fee proposal?
7	A Yes. Public television is beginning to
8	look more and more like commercial television from the
9	point of view of corporate sponsorships and
10	advertisements on public television.
11	Q And have you seen any of that yourself in
12	terms of the use of corporate underwriting or
13	advertising by public television?
14	A Yes. My good friend Joe DiMona of BMI
15	staff has made a videotape of the morning of
16	September 18, 1997 on WNET, the PBS station in New
17	York, and I have seen an extract of that tape showing
18	six commercials which look very much like commercials
19	on commercial television.
20	MR. KLEINBERG: We would like to play
21	Exhibit 28 at this time.
22	(Whereupon, the videotape was played.)

1	MR. DiMONA: Your Honor, I would like the												
2	record to reflect that this tape was made while my												
3	three-year old son Matthew was watching Sesame Street												
4	that morning before nursery school.												
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: It will so reflect.												
6	MR. DiMONA: Also, I have one housekeeping												
7	matter off the record. If I could ask the witness to												
8	speak a little louder, because this is the air												
9	conditioning fan over here is												
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay.												
11	MR. DiMONA: kind of blocking out the												
12													
13	THE WITNESS: I will try to do that.												
14	MR. DiMONA: Thank you.												
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you.												
16	BY MR. KLEINBERG:												
17	Q Mr. Willms, the tape that we have seen,												
18	you understand that was spliced together rather than												
19	a												
20	A That's correct.												
21	Q continued sequence?												
22	A That's correct.												

1	Q Now, you testified that in proposing the
2	fees at the levels you have described, you looked to
3	BMI's license agreements with commercial television
4	and radio industries, is that correct?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q I'd like to focus your attention now on
7	commercial television, and tell the Panel what the
8	components are of the commercial television industry
9	that BMI licenses.
LO	A Well, BMI licenses network television
L1	that's ABC, CBS, and NBC as well as local
L2	television. And these two areas together were used
L3	for arriving at the total commercial television
L4	picture.
L5	Q Now, I'd like to focus your attention on
L6	the local TV component of the commercial TV side. Are
L7	you with me?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q And could you describe how BMI has and
20	with whom has BMI negotiated its license agreement on
21	the local television side?
22	A On local television, BMI has negotiated

1	with the Television Music License Committee.										
2	Q And what is the Television Music License										
3	Committee?										
4	A It's a committee that represents										
5	approximately a thousand local television stations.										
6	Q And am I correct that in the negotiation										
7	process BMI does not sit down has not sat down with										
8	a thousand separate television stations to negotiate										
9	the current license?										
10	A That's correct. BMI negotiates with the										
11	Television Music License Committee.										
12	Q And is the Television Music License										
13	Committee represented by counsel in those										
14	negotiations?										
15	A Yes. It's represented by Weil, Gotshal &										
16	Manges.										
17	Q And you have participated personally in										
18	the most recent negotiations?										
19	A Yes, I did.										
20	Q Could you tell the Panel whether BMI and										
21	the local television industry have a current license										
22	agreement in effect?										

1	A Yes, they do.
2	Q And what is the term of that license
3	agreement?
4	A 1995 through March 31 of 1999.
5	Q Okay. When was that license agreement
6	with the local television industry negotiated?
7	A It was concluded in March of 1997.
8	Q Okay. And does this license agreement
9	apply to all of the local television stations that the
10	All Industry Music License Committee represented?
11	A Yes, it does.
12	Q Could you tell the Panel what the current
13	license fees are that BMI expects to receive under
14	that license agreement from the local television
15	industry?
16	A Well, for the period April 1, 1997,
17	through March 31, 1999, BMI expects to receive ar
18	amount that has a lower and upper bound. And for the
19	period and that lower and upper bound are
20	\$141,750,000, and the upper bound is \$151,750,000.
21	And if you divide that if you take the midpoint of
22	that you get around \$146 million. And for the year,

the	first	year,	from	Apr	il	1,	' 97,	through	March	of
198,	the	expecte	d nun	nber	is	\$73	mil:	lion.		

Q All right. Let's explore that a little bit more, so the Panel understands that. Do each of the stations pay some portion of that total amount of the license fee -- the \$73 million, for example, that would be applicable for the first year of the license?

A Well, there are two components -- two kinds of licenses. One is the blanket license, which is the most common license that BMI has. But within that, there is a program license where the stations pay based on music and revenues of particular programs, and stations can choose either one form or the other. And that is part of the reason why we don't know exactly how much money we'll receive, because of the choices that the stations can make and the length of time it takes to adjust reports from stations who choose the program license.

Q Was the license negotiated as a flat dollar amount for the entire local television industry?

A As far as the range goes, that's correct.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	Q And when you said that there was a range,
2	do you mean that there is a ceiling and a floor below
3	which the license fees in total cannot go either
4	downward or upward within the term?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And so we understand it, the \$73 million
7	figure that you used as BMI's expected license fee for
8	1997 is the middle of the range between the ceiling
9	and the floor?
LO	A That's correct.
L1	Q But you don't actually know that final
L2	amount yet, is that
L3	A That's correct.
L4	Q Now, you indicated this license was
L5	negotiated or completed in 1997, is that right?
L6	A That's correct.
L7	Q Could you tell the Panel what the state of
L8	licensing was with respect to the local television
L9	industry prior to this well, strike that.
20	Would you characterize this current deal,
21	the five-year deal you have testified about, as the
22	product of an arms length negotiation?

1	A Yes, I would.
2	Q And could you explain what you mean by
3	that?
4	A Well, it is really the first time in many,
5	many years that there has actually been a
6	free-standing negotiation that wasn't tied in with
7	court proceedings in one form or another.
8	Q Let me ask you, in terms of negotiations,
9	did BMI get everything that it wanted in the
10	negotiations?
11	A No, it didn't.
12	Q Did the All Industry TV Music License
13	Committee get everything that it wanted?
14	A No, it didn't.
15	Q Now, you said this current license, the
16	one that was finalized in March of '97, was the first
17	license agreement in many years that wasn't affected
18	by litigation, is that correct?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Would you describe for the Panel what
21	litigation you are talking about and when that
22	litigation was in place?

1	A Well, this would go back to the late '70s
2	when antitrust litigation was commenced against BMI
3	and ASCAP, and that litigation carried on through the
4	early '80s. And
5	Q Let me interrupt you here just for a
6	second, Mr. Willms. The antitrust litigation you're
7	referring to, did it have a name?
8	A The Buffalo Broadcasting case.
9	Q And who brought that litigation?
LO	A The local television stations against both
L1	ASCAP and BMI.
L2	Q And that litigation started in 1978?
L3	A Yes, that's correct.
L4	Q Okay. I'm sorry. Continue on. So you
L5	have litigation starting in 1978 by the local
L6	television industry against BMI and ASCAP for
L7	antitrust violations. What happened?
L8	A Well, that litigation was concluded in
L9	favor of BMI and ASCAP. And then, the next thing that
20	happened is that the local television industry
21	launched a Rate Court proceeding and an ASCAP Rate
22	Court, which carried on until 1993 and 1994.

1.	Q Let's go back to the license arrangements
2	between BMI and the local television stations at the
3	time that the Buffalo Broadcasting antitrust case was
4	started. Was there or had there been in existence a
5	prior license agreement between the parties?
6	A Prior to 1978?
7	Q Yes.
8	A Yes. And in those agreements, BMI had
9	accepted a rate tied to the ASCAP license. The ASCAP
10	license was based on a percentage of revenue, and
11	BMI's rate was contractually set at 58 percent of the
12	ASCAP rate.
13	Q So whatever ASCAP got as a percentage of
14	revenue from the local television stations, BMI, under
15	this pre-'78 agreement, got 58 percent of that amount?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q What happened with that license
18	arrangement during the course of the Buffalo
19	Broadcasting antitrust suit?
20	A Well, because of BMI's increasing music
21	share, an interim agreement was entered into whereby
22	BMI would receive 68 percent of the ASCAP fee, to be

1	finally determined after the dust settled, you might
2	say, at 70 percent.
3	Q And that agreement was entered into in
4	1985, is that correct?
5	A Yes, that's correct.
6	Q And prior to 1985, what was BMI getting
7	during the litigation? An interim fee as well?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Okay. So you've told us now that the
10	antitrust litigation successfully concludes in favor
11	of BMI and ASCAP. And approximately when was that?
12	A In the sort of '83 era.
13	Q And then there is a Rate Court proceeding
14	that the local television industry has with ASCAP,
15	correct?
16	A That's right.
17	Q Was BMI a part of that Rate Court
18	proceeding?
19	A No, it wasn't a part of that proceeding.
20	And at that time, BMI did not have its own Rate Court.
21	Q What was happening with BMI's license fees
22	while the ASCAP rate proceeding was going on between

1	the local television industry and ASCAP?
2	A It was getting 68 percent of the interim
3	fee that ASCAP was getting.
4	Q And was there any arrangement with respect
5	to what BMI would get of any final fee that might be
6	agreed to by ASCAP?
7	A Yes, that rate was set at 70 percent.
8	Q And what happened next in terms of when
9	any final fee was ever reached?
10	A Well, in 1993, there was a decision in the
11	ASCAP Rate Court and then a subsequent settlement
12	between the TV Music License Committee and ASCAP,
13	which settled everything through 1994. And as a
14	result of that settlement, the TV Music License
15	Committee met with BMI to also settle all fees through
16	December of 1994, and this negotiation was concluded
17	in early 1995.
18	Q So I understand you, BMI was on the
19	sidelines while the ASCAP Rate Court proceedings were
20	unfolding, in terms of what the ASCAP local television
21	arrangements were going to be?
22	A That's correct.

1	Q And had interim agreements with the local
2	television industry during that whole time period?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q Now, let's focus your attention if you
5	would for the moment on network television. You
6	described BMI had licensing or has licensing
7	agreements with three television networks, is that
8	correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q And what is the strike that.
11	When did the network license agreements
12	last get negotiated?
13	A Well, there was a final agreement which
14	expired in December of 1996. For some years there had
15	been interim agreements with the television networks
16	as well, and for the three or four years prior to 1996
17	a final arrangement was made with the television
18	networks.
19	Q And you refer in your written testimony to
20	the fact that ABC and NBC and CBS agreements with BMI
21	expired at the end of 1996, is that correct?
22	A That's correct.

1	Q And you said in your testimony also I
2	believe that as of the date of your testimony, which
3	was September of 1997, negotiations were then underway
4	with respect to the television networks for new
5	license agreements?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Mr. Salzman reminds me there is a question
8	I should ask you, so I will do that. With respect to
9	the local television license arrangements, were the
LO	local television stations seeking a reduction or an
L1	increase in fees in the ASCAP Rate Court?
L2	A A reduction.
L3	Q Going back to the networks, you said as of
L4	September the negotiations were ongoing with respect
L5	to new deals with the networks, is that correct?
-6	A That's right.
L7	Q And has that situation changed since that
L8	time?
L9	A Yes. There have been agreements reached
20	with two of the three networks.
21	Q And that would be for the term starting
22	when?

1	A January 1, 1997.
2	Q What were the amount of license fees that
3	BMI received from the three commercial television
4	networks in 1996?
5	A Approximately \$33 million.
6	Q Would you characterize the agreements with
7	the television networks that terminated in 1996 as
8	arms length transactions?
9	A Yes, I would.
10	Q And using the same standard you said
11	before, does that mean that parties did not get
12	everything they wanted in those negotiations?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Would the same thing apply to the local
15	television negotiations that you have talked about?
16	A The last local television negotiation
17	would reflect that, yes.
18	Q Now, you also indicated that you, as part
19	of your fee proposal, looked to a comparison of music
20	usage between the commercial and noncommercial
21	television broadcasters, correct?
22	A That's correct.

1	Q I'm reminded at this point that I need to
2	close the hearing to anyone who is not entitled to
3	hear the rest of this testimony.
4	(Whereupon, the proceedings went
5	immediately into Executive Session.)
6	·
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

-	BY MR. KLEINBERG:
2	Q Mr. Willms, I wanted to direct your
3	attention to the fee proposal for public radio, and I
4	believe you testified at the start of your appearance
5	that BMI was seeking a fee of \$1,395,000 per year for
6	the five-year license term for public radio, is that
7	correct?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q And could you explain to the Panel how BMI
10	arrived at that fee proposal for public radio?
11	A Well, the approach was to look at the
12	nearest analog to public radio NPR which we took
13	to be commercial radio. And we looked at the ratio of
14	BMI's license fee to the total radio revenues in order
15	to apply that ratio to the public to the NPF
16	revenues.
17	Q Could you tell the Panel what BMI's
18	blanket license rate for commercial radio stations was
19	in 1996?
20	A Approximately \$115 million.
21	Q Was that the rate or the fees?
22	A I'm sorry. That was the fees. The rate

1	was 1.605 percent of adjusted net revenues.
2	Q And how did this rate compare actually to
3	ASCAP's rate for a commercial radio station for that
4	time?
5	A The ASCAP fee was 1.615, so the rates were
6	very similar.
7	Q And would you describe for the Panel how
8	BMI has negotiated with respect to the commercial
9	radio industry in terms of license arrangements?
10	A The negotiations took place with the Radio
11	Music License Committee, which is also represented by
12	the firm of Weil, Gotshal & Manges. And these were
13	arms length negotiations which led to voluntary
14	agreements between the industry and BMI.
15	Q How many commercial radio stations are
16	subject to the BMI radio licensing?
17	A Approximately 10,000.
18	Q And so this whole industry Radio
19	Committee represented the 10,000 stations in the
20	negotiations of BMI?
21	A The majority of the 10,000.
22	Q What did you do in terms of coming up with

the proposed fee for radio after you looked at the 1.605 license -- percent of revenue license rate for commercial radio?

A Well, if we just applied that rate -
1.605 -- to the NPR revenues of \$457 million, I

believe, for 1995, you would get over \$7 million as

the -- as a starting point for a fee that BMI might

consider requesting. However, since the 1.605 for

commercial radio is based on net adjusted revenues, we

need to look at another measure in order to try to

relate that to National Public Radio.

So one way to do this is to take the total revenues of commercial radio and the amount of the actual fees BMI obtained in the -- the year we picked was 1996 for the fees, because that was sort of the year in which we reached the 1.605 level, taking that over 1995 commercial radio revenues, total revenues of over \$11 billion, which is an estimate in itself. And that led to a one percent rate. If you divide one by the other, you get about one percent.

So if you applied that to the NPR revenues of \$457 million for 1995, you would get about \$4-

NEAL R. GROSS

1.	1/2 million.
2	Q Now, in your testimony on page 25, you
3	actually have the figure that you used for
4	noncommercial radio revenues of \$457,191,000?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And that is all of the noncommercial
7	radio, not just NPR, correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And that figure was obtained from the
10	Corporation for Public Broadcasting reports, or the
11	like?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay. Continue. So you wound up if
14	you apply one percent to the \$457 million, you would
15	wind up with a license fee, then, of?
16	A \$4-1/2 million.
17	Q What did you do next, then?
18	A Well, BMI has, with the help of Dr. Owen,
19	looked at the breakdown of the programming on NPR.
20	And the first 36 percent of the broadcast hours for
21	NPR are in news talk formats. And even though there
22	is BMI music, there is music and there is of

1	course, BMI has a share of that music in these
2	formats. Very little feature music is used, and so
3	BMI has discounted this 36 percent of the programming.
4	Q And when you say discounted, what does
5	that mean? You took a 36 percent discount off of the
6	license fee that you had calculated to act as if there
7	was no BMI music in any part of that broadcasting day
8	that comprised the 36 percent?
9	A That is exactly right.
10	Q Okay. What did you do next?
11	A Dr. Owen again looked at the broadcast
12	hours for classical music, and that turned out to be
13	about 33 percent of the programming and even, again,
14	so there is a lot of public domain music. There is a
15	lot that isn't public domain. And BMI certainly has
16	a share of that. I think you've heard a prior witness
17	testify as to the classical music strength of BMI.
18	So, nevertheless, BMI has decided to discount that
19	part of the programming day.
20	Q So, again, you took off 31 percent from
21	the adjusted license fee to treat that part of the
22	broadcast as if there were no BMI music at all?

1	A Excuse me. 33 percent.
2	Q 33 percent. All right.
3	A So that gets us down to 31 percent
4	remaining.
5	Q And what is that
6	A And that is that is used for music by
7	NPR in a variety of formats, including pop music and
8	jazz and folk, and so forth.
9	Q And when you mentioned that there was, in
10	fact, BMI music in the news talk format portion of the
11	public radio day, are you also aware that there is
12	news talk formats on commercial radio stations?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q And, similarly, are there classical
15	formats on commercial radio stations?
16	A Yes, there are.
17	MR. KLEINBERG: I'm at a not a breaking
18	point an appropriate point to take a break, because
19	I am going into a new subject matter. So I can
20	continue on, if you'd like, or we could take a lunch
21	break, if that were appropriate.
	1

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH:

22

Okay. Well, you

1	have been on page 26 of the direct testimony. There
2	are only three pages left, but you are not going to
3	MR. KLEINBERG: Right. I can go on, if
4	you would like. I just
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I guess the
6	question is, how long would you go on? Just
7	anticipate.
8	MR. KLEINBERG: Ten minutes probably, 15
9	minutes maybe.
10	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Want to do it?
11	What is your pleasure? All in favor, say aye.
12	(Laughter.)
13	JUDGE DREYFUS: Ten minutes?
14	MR. KLEINBERG: Wrap it up.
15	JUDGE DREYFUS: Okay.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Yes. Let's try to
17	finish it, if you can.
18	MR. KLEINBERG: I will do my best.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Good.
20	BY MR. KLEINBERG:
21	Q Mr. Willms, BMI, prior this proceeding,
22	had a license agreement with public broadcasting,

1	correct?
2	l A

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α Could I just -- I sort of feel like I didn't have a chance to deliver the punchline here. just wanted to say the way we got to the \$1.395 million is to take the percentage of the 31 percent. So we really took 31 percent of \$4.5 million to get to \$1.395 million. That was the piece of the programming that had music and so -- so we are using the commercial analog on that piece. So sorry for --JUDGE GULIN: And just to be clear, the

\$4.5 million is one percent of the \$457 million?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

JUDGE GULIN: And the \$457 million, that includes all sources of funding, correct?

> THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE GULIN: Including government grants?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

JUDGE GULIN: Everything.

BY MR. KLEINBERG:

Q And to round this out, the \$1.395 million figure falls within the license fee range that Dr. Owen concluded in his report as well?

NEAL R. GROSS

1	A Yes, that's correct.
2	Q The most recent license agreement that BMI
3	had with public broadcasting was for what amount?
4	A \$785,000 a year.
5	Q And that was a total fee for all
6	television and radio performances?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q You are aware in this proceeding that
9	public broadcasting is seeking to use that fee as an
10	appropriate benchmark for determining the rate for the
11	next five years. Do you agree with that, using that
12	prior fee as an appropriate benchmark?
13	A No. I don't agree with that at all.
14	Q Would you explain to the Panel why you do
15	not think the prior \$785,000 fee is an appropriate
16	benchmark for setting the new BMI fee for the next
17	five years?
18	A Because circumstances have changed.
19	Q Explain what you mean.
20	A First of all, BMI's music use has changed,
21	so that it is much higher than before the situation
22	that we went into about the television fees in

1	commercial television not being available to us in
2	final as a final fee prior to the last negotiation.
3	In other words, we really didn't know what commercial
4	television fees were going to be. We now know what a
5	marketplace fee arrangement is through arms length
6	negotiations.
7	So we have a basis for comparison on
8	television, so that is another reason that we now have
9	a benchmark against we can we can measure. And the
10	nature of public television has changed so that it has
11	become more and more like commercial television,
12	making it more and more measurable against commercial
13	television as a benchmark. And so those are the
14	reasons why the circumstances have changed.
15	Now, there is another piece to the puzzle,
16	too, and that is that in when it was time for the
17	negotiations with BMI, ASCAP had already settled.
18	Q What time period are you talking about?
19	A For the 19 for the period leading up to
20	this period.
21	Q The last license agreement in 1992?
l	II

That's correct. So it -- and since BMI's

Α

music share was a lot lower, BMI did not think it was worth the time and money to challenge the settlement that had already taken place. So BMI, therefore, negotiated this low fee.

Q Mr. Willms, have you had occasion to compare in your mind the commercial license fees that BMI is getting from radio and TV with those that are being proposed in this proceeding by public broadcasting?

Α If you look at the total fees that we have just mentioned -- for radio \$115 million, and for television \$106 million -- you get \$221 million. If you take \$785 million -- \$785,000, excuse me, or even a four percent increase over that, you get less than four-tenths of one percent as the -- as the amount of that comparison. Whereas, the public television radio revenues are something in the range 4.4 percent of the revenues of commercial television radio.

So, consequently, if you look at those ratios, BMI is getting less than 10 cents on a dollar from public television and radio, as compared to its

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

OPEN SESSION

fee on commercial television and radio. And this just seems like an awfully low amount with which to reward composers for their efforts.

After all, the same composers write for radio -- public radio and television as write for commercial radio and television. So we think that the fees are -- are obviously very low for public television and radio.

Q I'm not a real mathematician, Mr. Willms, myself, as you probably know. But if I understand you, what you've just said, that if you compare the percentage of the license fee proposed by PBS for BMI to the commercial license fees that BMI gets for television radio, it would be four-tenths of one percent? The public would constitute four-tenths of one percent of the commercial?

- A That's correct.
- Q And that --
- A Less than four-tenths of one percent.
- 20 | O Less than --
- 21 || A Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22 | Q But less than four-tenths of one percent.

NEAL R. GROSS

1	And that if you compared the revenue relationship, the
2	public broadcasting revenues would be 4.3 percent of
3	the commercial revenues?
4	A That's right. So that, in our minds,
5	should be the fee basis. In other words, the fee
6	should be 4.3 percent of the fees we get on commercial
7	television and radio, instead of less than four-tenths
8	of a percent.
9	MR. KLEINBERG: I have no further
10	questions for the witness at this time.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right. Thank
12	you.
13	We will take our luncheon recess. I will
14	ask you to return at 2:05, please.
15	(Whereupon, at 1:06 p.m., the proceedings
16	in the foregoing matter went off the
17	record for a lunch break.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	(2:06 p.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Mr. Schaeffer has
4	disappeared.
5	MR. KLEINBERG: Yes. Just a minute ago.
6	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: He was here. There
7	he is.
8	MR. KLEINBERG: I think in our continuing
9	effort to make things more streamlined, we've got some
10	agreements to, I think eliminate Wednesday, is that
11	as a hearing day of this week, by moving the witnesses
12	or the witness who was going to be on Wednesday to
13	Thursday and I think if we can start at 9:30 maybe on
14	Thursday, I guess the expectation is tomorrow and then
15	Thursday would be hit for this week until we resume
16	then afterwards, if that's suitable to the witness.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: The witness on
18	Thursday would be Dr. Boyle?
19	MR. KLEINBERG: It would be there's two
20	short, relatively short BMI witnesses, Michael Bacon,
21	the composer, and Dr. Epstein who testified about this
22	music use study that you've heard about and then Dr.

1 | Boyle.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay.

JUDGE GULIN: Would it be -- make sense to do part of it on Wednesday to make sure we get through on Thursday or do you prefer not to? We're going to be here, I assume. We're not going anywhere this one day.

MR. SCHAEFFER: Well, I think many of us can go back to New York for the day's business is the reason we're trying to organize this. I think we all feel reasonably confident that we can finish all three on that day and to some extent -- I actually should defer to Mr. Rich because he has the cross examination which may take longer, but I think we all think we can do it. In the worse case, it's not the end of the world and we can call Epstein or Bacon immediately prior to PBS's case, but I think the feeling was that for a number of us it's very hard to be in Washington away from the practice. So if we could get a full day back at the ranch it would be of great help. I speak for everybody when I say that.

MR. SALZMAN: The proposal was, I think,

NEAL R. GROSS

1	originally aired among counsel on the premise that
2	counsel were all reasonably confident that all three
3	could be done on Thursday.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thursday.
5	MR. RICH: Given that we have bifurcated
6	cross examination of Dr. Boyle as you're aware in
7	terms of music. I think Thursday should be do-able.
8	JUDGE GULIN: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay. And the only
10	other question I have is what time do you want to
11	start tomorrow, Tuesday?
12	MR. SCHAEFFER: I vote for the earliest
13	time.
14	MR. KLEINBERG: Whatever the Panel wishes
15	in that regard.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 9:30?
17	MR. KLEINBERG: Fine.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: We'll start at 9:30
19	on Tuesday. We will not be in regular session on
20	Wednesday, but we would have to start at 10 o'clock on
21	Thursday.
22	MR. SCHAEFFER: By way of two other

1	housekeeping matters, I should have the Boyle
2	stipulation for Mr. Rich's examination tomorrow and we
3	have been negotiating about the documents of ASCAP and
4	I think we've made some progress although I don't
5	think either side has yet reached a definite meeting
6	of the minds, but I think we're getting there and I
7	hope we can do that before we adjourn on Thursday.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay, so we'll
9	defer that from having from being due by the close
10	of business today until the end of
11	MR. SCHAEFFER: I think by I look to
12	Mr. Rich's side, but I think we made our position
13	clear. They quite properly said they want to consider
14	some aspects of it, so Thursday we can look forward to
15	that.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay, fine, thank
17	you.
18	JUDGE DREYFUS: Are we sitting Friday?
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: No, we're going to
20	do it all on Thursday and be off on Friday.
21	MR. KLEINBERG: That's part of the
22	expectation.

1	MR. STEIN: Your Honor, just one other
2	minor housekeeping matter, we had promised revised
3	exhibit PB12X, the report which was not clearly
4	legible. We provide you with a replacement copy.
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 12X, okay.
6	MR. STEIN: That's correct.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, thank
8	you, Mr. Stein.
9	Mr. Schaeffer, did you wish to cross
10	examine, sir?
11	MR. SCHAEFFER: I have a couple of
12	questions, but I would suggest that we do Mr. Rich
13	is a lot more liable to cover them than I am.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.
15	Mr. Rich?
16	MR. RICH: Thank you.
17	CROSS EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. RICH:
19	Q Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. Willms
20	A Good afternoon.
21	Q I'd like to start by discussing with you
22	the attributes which you cite in your testimony, the

OPEN SESSION

attributes	of	BMI's	dealing	ıs wi	th	commerc	cial
broadcasters	whic	h in yo	ur estin	nation	make	es them	the
best benchma	ırk f	or dete	rmining	fair	and	reasona	able
fees for for	the	noncomm	nercial	broad	caste	rs who	are
appearing be	fore	this Par	nel, oka	ay?			

A Okay.

Q Now I take it from your testimony that BMI's dealings with the commercial broadcasters have been quoting from pages 11 and 12 of your testimony "the product of arm's length negotiation", yes?

A That's correct.

Q "Have resulted in prices that both sides accept", correct?

A Yes.

Q Which negotiations have since at least 1994 when BMI itself came subject to its own rate court been "conducted against the backdrop of an impartial dispute resolution mechanism that serves to insure that the parties negotiate reasonable terms and conditions". That's an accurate statement also from your testimony, is it not?

A That's correct.

11 11100 5 001

1	Q And those three attributes form at least
2	partially the backdrop against which you testify that
3	commercial fees are a good benchmark for establishing
4	fees in this proceeding, correct?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q I'd like to examine each of those elements
7	with you briefly, if I may.
8	First, "the product of arm's length
9	negotiation." Have not the BMI, PBS and NPR
10	negotiations over the years also been the product of
11	arm's length negotiation?
12	A The only one I'm familiar with is the last
13	one, since I wasn't with BMI prior to that.
14	Q You have not become familiarized with the
15	negotiation experience pre-existing the 1992
16	negotiations?
17	A Only in a general way.
18	Q What general way is that?
19	A Well, I do believe that they have been
20	arm's length negotiations.
21	Q And likewise, the 1992 negotiations?
22	A Yes.

OPEN SESSION

1	Q With which you are personally familiar?
2	A Well, I wasn't directly involved, but I
3	was indirectly involved in the lead up to those
4	negotiations.
5	Q As a member of senior management?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Were you briefed periodically on the
8	status of those negotiations?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q I take it BMI did not get everything it
11	sought in the 1992 negotiations?
12	A No.
13	Q I take it you would also agree that PBS
14	and NPR did not get everything they sought?
15	A I my understanding is that they really
16	did get what they sought.
17	Q Do you have an understanding of what the
18	parties' opening positions in those negotiations were?
19	A My recollection is that at least PBS got
20	nearly what it expected to get.
21	Q Nearly what it got. Okay, but you agree
22	these were arm's length negotiations, correct?

1	A Yes.
. 2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Can you keep your
3	voice up a little bit.
4	THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'll try.
5	BY MR. RICH:
6	Q Am I also correct, Mr. Willms, to the best
7	of your knowledge that essential the same negotiators
8	have represented BMI in its respective dealings with
9	major noncommercial and commercial broadcasters at any
10	one point in time?
11	A Well, since I've been involved in the
12	television and radio negotiations directly and not
13	directly in the PBS negotiations, then at least from
14	my vantage points they haven't been exactly the same
15	Q Well, for example, has at least until very
16	recently your general counsel, Marvin Berenson, been
17	a key member of the negotiating team?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q He's in this room, is he not?
20	A That's right.
21	Q That's gentleman?
22	A That's right.

1	Q And to your knowledge, did he participate
2	in the 1992 PBS negotiations?
3	A Yes, he did.
4	Q And to your knowledge at least until very
5	recently was he a team negotiator in relation to
6	commercial broadcasting license agreements?
7	A Yes.
8	Q He's an experienced negotiator, is he not?
9	A He is.
10	Q Very able, yes?
11	A Absolutely.
12	Q Now you mention the fact that Weil,
13	Gotshal and indeed I personally have participated in
14	certain of the prior commercial licensing
15	negotiations, correct?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q Now was your purpose in so stating in your
18	testimony to suggest that PBS and NPR have been less
19	well represented relatively speaking and hence more
20	subject to BMI's bargaining leverage?
21	A Of course not.
22	Q Now let's talk about the second fact you

1	cite, "resulting in prices that both sides accept".
2	You don't dispute, do you, that in 1978
3	and again in 1982 and again in 1987 and again in 1992
4	the terms of the BMI/PBS/NPR agreements resulted in
5	prices that both sides accepted. You don't dispute
6	that, do you?
7	A No, I don't.
8	Q By definition. Okay, let's look and
9	there was no coercion to your knowledge on BMI to
10	accept these at any particular level, was there?
11	A Not that I am aware of.
12	Q None in 1992 when you participated,
13	correct?
14	A That's correct.
15	Q Let's look at the third factor you cite,
16	"negotiations conducted against the backdrop of an
17	impartial dispute resolution mechanism" that insures
18	"that the parties negotiate reasonable terms and
19	conditions". That's your testimony, right, suggesting
20	that that mechanism in the commercial sector gives the
21	results of those negotiations indicia of
	1 1

reasonableness here, correct?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q Now you don't dispute, do you, sir, to
3	your knowledge that the 1978, 1982, 1987, and 1992
4	negotiation between BMI and the Public Broadcasters
5	took place "against the backdrop of an impartial
6	dispute resolution mechanism", do you? Namely, the
7	CRT and more recently the CARP?
8	A In that regard, they are similar.
9	Q Yes. One, in fact, that insured "that the
10	parties negotiate reasonable terms and conditions"
11	again from your testimony, correct?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q So indeed is it not a fact that prior to
14	1994 when BMI became subject to a rate court mechanism
15	similar to that which ASCAP has had in place since
16	1950 the only such mechanism as to BMI, that is, the
17	only impartial tribunal to which you cite was in fact
18	the compulsory license mechanism under 118?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q That BMI in its dealings prior to 1994
21	with commercial broadcasters, in fact, was free to
22	withhold its repertory, was it not?

1	A You mean BMI was free to withhold?
2	Q Yes.
3	A That's correct.
4	Q So that if BMI and the commercial
5	broadcasters reached loggerheads, it was at least an
6	option available to BMI to refuse to issue licenses,
7	is that correct?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q So that as between commercial and
10	noncommercial broadcasters, at least up until 1994,
11	the only "impartial dispute resolution mechanism"
12	available to insure that the parties negotiated
13	"reasonable terms and conditions" was that one
14	available to the noncommercial as opposed to the
15	commercial broadcasters, correct?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q And is it also not the case, sir, that
18	prior to 1994, BMI refused to offer arbitration as a
19	dispute resolution mechanism to commercial arbitrators
20	with whom they were at loggerheads preferring in BMI's
21	own words to keep "a gun to their heads" in
22	negotiations?

Could you repeat that, please?

Α

2	Q Yes, is it not a fact, sir, on your time
3	at BMI forward, that in one or more instances of
4	impasse prior to 1994 in BMI's dealings with
5	commercial broadcasters, BMI as it advised the
6	Department of Justice in writing, preferred during
7	that era to "keep a gun to the head" of the
8	broadcasters, meaning, figuratively speaking, meaning
9	that BMI always reserved the right to pull access to
10	its repertory if those negotiations failed. Is that
11	not true?
12	MR. KLEINBERG: I'm going to the question
.13	to the extent there seems to be something that's being
14	read. I thin in fairness to the witness he should be
15	shown or told what it is.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Are you quoting
17	something, Mr. Rich?
18	MR. RICH: I'm quoting a phrase which I
19	have heard with my own ears and which I've seen with
20	my own eyes and am asking the witness if he can concur
21	that that was a practice in a statement made by BMI
22	during his tenure at the company.

1	JUDGE GULIN: You don't now the source of
2	the quote?
3	MR. RICH: Again, the source of the quote,
4	I don't want to testify here, it was meetings I've
5	attended where these statements have been made. I'm
6	asking him if he has first hand knowledge of this.
7	JUDGE GULIN: there's no document that
8	you're reading from?
9	MR. RICH: Not in front of me, no.
10	MR. KLEINBERG: Oh, I thought there was a
11	reference to a filing with the Department of Justice
12	that I heard some reference to. I thought there was
13	a linkage to that document.
14	MR. RICH: Indeed there was, but I can
15	withdraw that from my question.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Why don't you do
17	that then?
18	BY MR. RICH:
19	Q Let me rephrase. Are you familiar from
20	the time you began involvement in negotiations with
21	commercial and/or noncommercial broadcasters with
22	BMI's stated intent that is at least as articulated to

1	one or more groups of commercial broadcasters with
2	whom it has dealt, that in the event negotiations
3	failed, rather than put the matter to arbitration, BMI
4	would elect to withhold its repertory?
5	A No, I'm not personally familiar with that.
6	Q Okay. Incidentally, in 1992 was it your
7	understanding that BMI dealt with scattered individual
8	stations representing the noncommercial broadcasters
9	or like in commercial television, did it deal on a
10	centralized basis with representatives of NPR and PBS.
11	A On a centralized basis, yes.
12	Q Analogous, in some respects to dealings
13	with the commercial broadcasters committees, correct?
L4	a That's correct.
L5	Q Now in relation to the BMI rate court
L6	mechanism, now we're talking a period from 1994
L7	forward, yes, you cite at page 12 of your testimony as
18	"an important feature" the fact that BMI bears the
L9	burden in any rate court proceeding of proving the
20	reasonableness of a proposed fee in a rate dispute, is
21	that correct?

That's correct.

1	Q And you there state that "this also serves
2	to insure a fair outcome in negotiations between BMI
3	and users" correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q To your knowledge, do the provisions of
6	Section 118 place a similar burden on BMI?
7	A Technically, I don't know the answer to
8	that question.
9	Q If I suggest to you that the answer is no,
LO	just for purposes of my question, then if I'm correct,
11	would it also then be the case that at least as to
12	this element of your testimony, namely, a factor that
L3	insures a fair outcome in negotiations, that element
14	would be lacking as to protection for NPR and PBS,
15	namely the lack of such a burden?
16	A Logically, yes.
17	Q And that would operate relatively speaking
18	to the bargaining disadvantage of noncommercial
19	broadcasters in relation to commercial broadcasters,
20	correct?
21	A If that were true.
22	Q If that fact were established. Now I take

1	it that BMI to date has never had a rate court
2	proceeding with a commercial broadcaster, is that
3	correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Now we've established that prior to 1994
6	there was no such mechanism, yes, and I take it since
7	1984 BMI has at least attempted to reach negotiated
8	resolutions with various commercial broadcasters at
9	the time of license expirations, true?
10	A Did you mean to say 1994?
11	Q 1994, pardon me.
12	A Would you repeat the question.
13	Q Since 1994, I take it, it has been BMI's
14	preference to attempt to reach negotiated resolutions
15	at the point of contract expirations?
16	A Yes, that's correct.
17	Q Okay, let's talk about your relationships
18	with the Radio Music License Committee, so that the
19	record is clear, that Committee, I take it represents
20	the interest of the commercial radio stations across
21	the country in their music license negotiations, is
22	that correct?

1	A That's correct.
2	Q And BMI periodically engages in
3	negotiations with that committee, is that correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q And am I correct that those typically
6	occur in five year cycles?
7	A Because the previous contracts have been
8	five years in length.
9	Q Yes and does not BMI's consent decree to
10	your knowledge limit the length of a BMI contract to
11	a five year term?
12	A Honestly, I can't answer that question.
13	Q Now has that committee, namely the Radio
14	Music License Committee had a history of litigating
15	its disputes with ASCAP? ASCAP, not with you?
16	A To my knowledge, certainly in recent
17	years.
18	Q So as far as you're aware, say taking the
19	last 20 year period, BMI has been aware, has it not,
20	of the rates and the fee structures its chief
21	competitor, namely ASCAP has had with the commercial
22	radio industry, correct?

1	A Yes, that's correct.
2	Q And BMI, as you testified in response to
3	questioning by Mr. Kleinberg, has reached virtual fee
4	parity with ASCAP in relation to commercial radio, is
5	that correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Now, at page 24 of your testimony,
8	incidentally, you suggest that BMI anticipates
9	receiving more money as a result of what are now
10	on-going negotiations with the Radio Music License
11	Committee, you so state, correct?
12	A That's correct.
13	Q I take it to be no agreement to that
14	effect has been reached?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Negotiations are simply ongoing?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q So that is speculative as of now on your
19	part?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q Now, I'm correct, am I not, sir, that BMI
22	is here asking for \$1.395 million per year from the

1	noncommercial radio broadcasters represented in this
2	proceeding?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q That's the component of the total fee
5	request allocated by the methodology you describe to
6	noncommercial radio, correct?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q Am I also correct that the revenues earned
9	by noncommercial radio are about one quarter of the
10	total revenues earned by public broadcasting?
11	A That's what the numbers here show, yes.
12	Q Yes. You indicate at page 24 of your
13	testimony that 1995 revenues for public radio were
14	about \$457 million?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q Off of a base of \$1.9 billion roughly?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Of total revenues, industry-wide?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Now am I also correct, sir, that in the
21	last expired license agreement between public
22	broadcasting and BMI the total fee received by BMI was

1	\$785,000 a year?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q And is it not correct that that fee
4	covered both noncommercial radio and television
5	combined?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q And that was an annual fee covering each
8	of the years 1993 through 1997?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q Okay, so am I correct that the annual fee
11	that BMI here seeks for radio alone, namely \$1.395
12	million represents a 77 percent increase over the last
13	annual fee of \$785,000 that BMI negotiated covering
L4	radio and TV combined?
L5	A That sounds like the right arithmetic.
16	Q Assuming my arithmetic is right?
L7	A Yes.
18	Q And that is being requested, I take it in
L9	circumstances in which radio represents solely one
20	quarter of total broadcasting income, correct?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q And in an environment in which there's

1	been no uncertainty as to the commercial radio fees
2	payable to either ASCAP or BMI over the past 20 years,
3	correct/
4	A That's correct.
5	Q And you make no claim here, do you, sir,
6	that BMI share of music performed on noncommercial
7	radio as opposed to noncommercial TV has increased
8	over the past five years, do you?
9	A No. We have not made that claim.
10	Q Now BMI is and has been for some time a
11	large business enterprise, true?
12	A It's something that is relative, but it's
13	certainly not a giant corporation.
14	Q I think that as the chief financial
15	officer you might agree that managing and bringing in
16	and distributing what you report as \$421 million in
17	revenues in 1997 is a considerable task, yes?
18	A Yes. But we certainly would be in the
19	middle range of companies in size, not the top range.
20	Q But you consider yourself a sizeable
21	business enterprise, correct?
22	A Well, yes, we do have pride in that, but

1	in the big scheme of things it's not a giant
2	corporation.
3	Q Approximately how many employees does BMI
4	have?
5	A About 600.
6	Q Spread in how many cities?
7	A Mainly in Nashville and New York. Some in
8	Los Angeles.
9	Q And you have, I take it, extensive
10	relationships overseas as well with corresponding
11	societies over there, is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Numbering more than how many?
14	A Oh, we have license agreements with 70 or
15	80.
16	Q I also take it, sir, that BMI has
17	fiduciary obligations to the copyright owners you
18	represent in negotiations, correct?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q You take that seriously, don't you?
21	A Certainly.
22	Q Now, is BMI's pricing to your knowledge

1	legally constrained by what ASCAP charges a given
2	user?
3	A Not as far as I know.
4	Q And I take it that it's not BMI's practice
5	to collude with ASCAP by discussing the license fees
6	it charges, correct?
7	A Certainly not.
8	Q You wouldn't do that?
9	A Certainly not.
10	Q And in competing for new composer
11	affiliates, I take it that BMI doesn't say in words or
12	effect "don't join us because we can never collect
13	more from the user than brand X"?
14	A I don't think so.
15	Q So in other words, BMI must and does make
16	its own pricing decisions, correct?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q And if BMI determines that its repertory
19	is worth more to a given user than ASCAP's, in your
20	experience, is it not the case that BMI will press for
21	the fees which it believes it's entitled to?
22	A I would put it that BMI uses such things

1	as its music share to negotiate fees with licensees.
2	Q And if, for example, you feel your music
3	share entitles you to more money relative to ASCAP
4	than you have theretofore received, BMI's not share
5	about, so stating in a negotiation, correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q And there's much ample precedent including
8	with respect to the local commercial television
9	industry to support that proposition, true?
10	A Yes, that's correct.
11	Q In fact, I believe you testified on your
12	direct examination by Mr. Kleinberg that over periods
13	spanning back certainly into the early to mid-1980s,
14	BMI managed to racket up its relative share, relative
15	to ASCAP with respect to local television broadcasters
16	from a beginning point of 58 percent of ASCAP fees
17	through fees at or about 70 percent of ASCAP fees to
18	an even higher estimated percentage today, is that
19	correct?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And that was, I take it, because BMI
22	believed, looking at the data, that its share of music

1	used by the local television broadcasters had
2	increased relative to ASCAP overcome, correct?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And BMI vigorously expressed that point of
5	view at the bargaining table, correct?
6	A Yes, it did.
7	Q And pressed to reach a result which would
8	reflect equity from BMI's standpoint in terms of its
9	relationships to ASCAP, correct?
10	A Well, in terms of its relationship to its
11	music share, yes.
12	Q And I take it that being dealt with
13	competitively fairly, that is, in relation to what
14	ASCAP received from a given user is a central tenet of
15	BMI's operations/
16	A It's an important factor.
17	Q And sometimes a critical factor in terms
18	of BMI deciding to close a deal or not with a given
19	user, correct?
20	A All I can say is it's an important factor.
21	Q Okay.
22	A In negotiations.

1	Q To your knowledge, Mr. Willms, during at
2	least yore tenure with BMI or any knowledge you may
3	have from what you learned as to negotiations
4	preceding your tenure, has BMI ever used the argument
5	with a licensee with which it has had a long standing
6	relationship that since BMI's share of that user's
7	total music pie had grown from X to Y percent in a
8	prior five year period that BMI was entitled to
9	something in the range of a 700 percent rate increase?
10	A With any other?
11	Q Yes.
12	A Certainly not a radio or television
13	situation.
14	Q Is there any established user with whom
15	BMI has had many years of relationships and many years
16	of licenses that come to mind where BMI based on the
17	claim that BMI's music share had grown over the prior
18	five year period came in and asked for fee increases
19	approximating 700 percent? Can you think of any
20	example sitting here today?
,21	A Well, I can think of examples of large fee
22	increases where BMI felt it had been unreasonably paid

1	before. I don't know if they come to 700 percent, but
2	certainly much larger increases than in radio of
3	television.
4	Q Can you quantify what it is you have in
5	mind?
6	A Well, I just am aware of one where there
7	has been a large fee increase requested and I believe
8	there are negotiations going on perhaps with which you
9	are familiar.
10	Q And if we need to clear the room at any
11	one point for you to testify, perhaps that is where
12	Mr. Kleinberg is going, we do have a mechanism so that
13	anything that's confidential to your competitor, we
14	can get on a confidential record here. And why don't
15	we hold that though because I will be going through
16	other confidential areas. I'd like to come back in a
17	few minutes though and ask you to identify the user
18	and the magnitude of the increase you just testified
19	to, okay? So please hold that.
20	Let's turn for a few minutes to your
21	dealings with the let me just ask you this. You

testified to an alternative fee which BMI seeks in

22

1	this proceeding, is that correct?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q And that is one based on irrespective of
4	the absolute dollars the percentage of the total pie
5	which BMI believes it is entitled to, correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q And that number, I believe is 38.6 percent
8	of total fees payable to ASCAP, BMI and CSAP, correct?
9	A That's correct.
10	Q And I take it the impetus for that
11	alternative approach is the competitive concern about
12	which we've been speaking a few questions back, is
13	that right?
14	A So that we can competitively pay our
15	writers and publishers, right.
16	Q Now turning to the historic relationships
17	with ABC, CBS, and NBC television networks, BMI has
18	historically negotiated and generally speaking reached
19	terms with the three licensed commercial television
20	networks, true?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And at least for a period of 30 years or

1	so, those licenses have called for flat annual license
2	fees, is that correct, as opposed to fees stated as a
3	percentage of the revenues earned by the networks?
4	A I don't go back 30 years.
5	Q For as long as you're familiar with such
6	licenses?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And other than the ABC, CBS, ASCAP rate
9	court litigation which occurred in the early 1990s
10	focusing on, as I recall, a three year license period,
11	1991 through 1993 for those entities, over the past 15
12	years what other uncertainties have there been in
13	terms of BMI's ability to reach terms with one or more
14	television networks or otherwise get a sense for where
15	the market price was for those networks?
16	A Well, I'm not directly familiar with what
17	went on before I came to the company, so I can't
18	really give you an opinion on that.
19	I'm not aware of whether or not there were
20	any impediments to reaching an arm's length negotiated
21	settlement.
1	

Well, sitting here today since for better

1	or worse you are the designated hitter for BMI, do you
2	have any understanding whether say through the period
3	of the 1980s the ultimate levels of fees payable by
4	the ABC, CBS and NBC television networks were so
5	clouded, so uncertain that BMI had little sense of
6	that market?
7	A I'm not aware of any.
8	JUDGE GULIN: Can I just go back for a
9	moment to Mr. Rich's question to you about what he
10	characterizes as an alternative fee proposal, that
11	38.6 percent. That only applied to television,
12	correct?
13	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
14	JUDGE GULIN: And as I understood you, you
15	didn't really characterize it as an alternative fee
16	proposal, but rather as a minimum fee proposal with
17	respect to television only. Is that correct?
18	THE WITNESS: I think that would be the
19	right way to put it.
20	JUDGE GULIN: Okay, thank you.
21	BY MR. RICH:
22	O Just so I'm clear, the 38.6 percent

1	relates only to television. Is there a minimum fee
2	you see comprehending television and radio?
3	A Well, the radio fee we've asked for is
4	\$1.395 million. So there isn't a radio sort of stands
5	on its own in this request. Okay?
6	Q I inferred from your testimony, written
7	testimony at page 28 that you believe a number of
8	BMI's network license fees during the 1980s and 1990s
9	were interim in nature. Is that an accurate
10	interpretation of your testimony?
11	A Well, certainly during the 1990s they were
12	interim.
13	Q What do you mean when you use the word
14	"interim"?
15	A Well, not being finally settled.
16	Q And can you give me an example?
17	A Well, if you have a negotiation in which
18	you agree to an interim fee until certain events take
19	place or until certain events pass or until you
20	further agree to a final fee, then it's interim.
21	Q Can you give me an example of an actual
22	network license agreement with BMI between BMI and

1	ABC, CBS or NBC television network covering the 1980s
2	or 1990s which were so structure?
3	MR. KLEINBERG: I think I may have to
4	invoke the closed door rule only because the
5	agreements in question may reflect confidentiality
6	provisions that I'm not necessarily aware of.
7	MR. RICH: This actually might be a good
8	moment because I am going to cover some details.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, Ms.
LO	McGivern has generally offered to extend herself for
11	a while.
L2	(Whereupon, the proceedings went
L3	immediately into Executive Session.)
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	· ·
L9	
20	
21	
22	

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay.
2	BY MR. RICH:
3	Q I'd like to talk to you a little bit about
4	the similarities you've identified between commercial
5	and noncommercial broadcasting, that portion of your
6	testimony?
7	A Yes.
8	Q You assert at page 8 of your written
9	testimony that noncommercial television programming is
10	"quite similar" to commercial television programming
11	"in all of its essential respects." True?
12	A Yes.
13	Q That's what I want to chat with you about
14	for a bit.
15	I take it that you make that assertion
16	based on the observation that both sets of industries
17	show children's programming, films, popular music and
18	other concerts, dramas, comedies, dramatic serials and
19	news and public affairs, correct, that's page 8 of
20	your testimony?
21	A That's correct.
22	Q And you cite as an example National

1	Geographic which you observe is broadcast on both
2	commercial and noncommercial television, correct? You
3	need to verbalize your answer.
4	A Yes.
5	Q Now first of all, in drawing this
6	programming comparison, Mr. Willms, did you mean to
7	compare solely broadcast commercial television or
8	broadcast and cable commercial television's
9	programming fare with that of noncommercial
10	broadcasting?
11	A Well, I was thinking
12	Q What did you have in mind?
13	A Primarily of broadcasting.
14	Q Primarily broadcasting.
15	A But there are probably similarities with
16	cable as well, but I mean I was thinking primarily
17	broadcasting.
18	Q Well, that's why I am asking whether your
19	testimony had at its base the similarity between
20	broadcast commercial and I take it your answer is yes?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Cable was not in your mind as you were

1	drafting this?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q And did you in crafting this testimony
4	perform any analysis of the mix of programming genres
5	as between commercial and noncommercial broadcast
6	television?
7	A You mean the amount of children's or the
8	amount of drama or the amount of
9	Q Yes.
10	A No.
11	Q I'm going to show you a chart which
12	appears as part of the direct testimony of one of the
13	witnesses our client will be putting on the stand, Mr.
14	Peter Downey of PBS and at page 21, if Your Honors
15	will of that testimony there is a chart showing
16	mixes of program genres on PBS and with the Panel's
17	consent I just want to show that to the witness.
18	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.
19	JUDGE DREYFUS: Should we get it out?
20	MR. RICH: There will probably only be one
21	question on it. You may not need to.
	1

NEAL R. GROSS

BY MR. RICH:

22

1	Q Mr. Willms, do you see over in the right
2	hand column of the chart on I don't have it in
3	front of me, is it page 21?
4	A Yes.
5	Q A series of percentages reflecting the
6	incidents of different categories of programming that
7	appear on the PBS program feed?
8	A Yes, the right hand column for 1994?
9	Q Yes. Scanning that column as against the
10	genres of programming listed, do you have any
11	knowledge as to the degree to which if we were to plot
12	on a similar chart the programming fare appearing
13	either on commercial broadcast network television or
14	local commercial broadcast television, the degree to
15	which those percentage mixes would line up?
16	A No, I don't.
17	Q You don't believe, do you thank you
18	you don't believe, for example, and understand, do
19	you, that approximately 20 percent or 19 plus percent
20	of local television broadcast time is devoted to news
21	and public affairs, do you?
22	MR. SCHAEFFER: I object, news and public

1	affairs also meets formats, not hours.	
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Mr. Schaeffer, I'm	
3	sorry, I didn't understand	
4	MR. SCHAEFFER: Two questions, first of	
5	all, I object to the news and public affairs, who's	
6	defined what that means and also it's formats, it's	
7	not hours.	
8	MR. RICH: This chart lists percentage of	
9	broadcast hours represented by various program types.	
10	MR. SCHAEFFER: It was done by format.	
11	MR. RICH: Perhaps I can cure the	
12	objection, Your Honor.	
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.	
14	BY MR. RICH:	
15	Q Do you have a general concept at least in	
16	your own mind of what comprises news and public	
17	affairs?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And do you have a sense that approximately	
20	1 in 5 hours of commercial local broadcast television	
21	is devoted to such programming?	
22	A Well, I do know there's a lot of news	

1	programming, but I don't know what the exact
2	percentage is.
3	Q Do you have a sense that close to 30
4	percent of local commercial broadcast time is devoted
5	to children's programming?
6	A I wouldn't think the percentage would be
7	that high.
8	Q And the same on network television, on a
9	7 day a week basis?
10	A Yes, but that would be just a guess, but
11	I don't believe so. I'm not I haven't done that
12	analysis.
13	Q Beyond identifying the fact that at some
14	point in a broadcast schedule each of these genres of
15	programming you identify at page 8 exist on both
16	commercial and noncommercial, did you take your
17	analysis of programming comparability any further than
18	that?
19	A No.
20	Q Now you also assert at page 8 of your
21	testimony that public television's "production values"
22	are comparable to those of commercial television,

1	correct?
2	A And in many respects, superior.
3	Q How did you measure this?
4	A Just in trying to judge audience reaction
5	to both commercial and our view of audience
6	reaction to what is shown on public television and
7	commercial television.
8	Q You undertook a study to ascertain
9	audience reaction to both media?
10	A No.
11	Q Then I don't understand your answer.
12	A Well, it's our judgment as a company that
13	the production values are similar, from the point of
14	view of our judgment, as opposed to any studies, is
15	equal or better.
16	Q So this assertion reflects merely BMI's
17	opinion?
18	A That's correct.
19	Q Without aid of any external study?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q Now when at pages 9 and 10 of your
22	testimony you state that public television has become

1	more "attractive" and "commercial" in quality and
2	appearance, might not some find that an oxymoron,
3	namely more attractive and commercial at the same
4	time?
5	MR. KLEINBERG: I object to the form of
6	the question.
7	MR. RICH: I'll withdraw the question.
8	BY MR. RICH:
9	Q If as you cite Jennifer Lawson of PBS,
10	"public television's aim has been to create an
11	appealing alternative to commercial television" how
12	does it make it more commercial?
13	A Well, if you're doing that in the hopes
14	that you are going to improve your ratings, for
15	example, then you are trying to make it more
16	attractive.
17	You're trying to attract more listeners.
18	Q Do you equate your use of the term
19	commercial with an interest in making your programming
20	as attractive to listeners as you can, consistent with
21	one's mission?
22	A I think you can say that.

1	Q Now, you were in the room and on the
2	stand, I take it, when a videotape prepared by Mr.
3	DiMona of BMI was prepared, correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Mr. DiMona is an employee of BMI?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And did he prepare this tape at your
8	suggestion?
9	A No.
10	Q How did it come to be prepared?
11	A I think he stated I don't know if it
12	was on the record or not that he taped six hours of
13	the New York PBS station last September 17th.
14	Q Did he do this of his own instance and
15	then present it to you to the effect of "gee, maybe we
16	should use this in this rate court" pardon me, in this
17	CARP proceeding?
18	A Well, he suggested that it might be useful
19	to look at it.
20	Q And did he provide you with a number of
21	samples of excerpts of underwriting credits from
22	different stations around the country taken on

1	different days or solely this one?
2	A Just this one.
3	Q And did he represent to you the degree to
4	which this sample was at all typical of underwriting
5	credits which appear on 350 some odd public television
6	stations around the country?
7	A No, he just taped this one morning and an
8	extract was made to show the Panel.
9	Q Did you make any independent effort to
10	investigate the typicality or representativeness of
11	the six examples which were shown the Panel?
12	A No.
13	Q Sitting here today, do you have any
14	knowledge of the representativeness or typicality of
15	these examples?
16	A No study.
17	Q Are you a regular commercial television
18	watcher?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Have you seen the commercial television
21	counterparts of the Chuckee Cheese and Polaroid,
22	etcetera spots that were shown, as they appeared on

1	noncommercial television?
2	A I haven't tried to do a comparison.
3	Q Sitting here today, do you have any
4	knowledge as to the degree of their similarity or
5	dissimilarity to what was shown as part of BMI Exhibit
6	28?
7	A No.
8	(Pause.)
9	MR. RICH: Your Honors, I have a ways to
LO	go if this might be a convenient
L1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I think we better
L2	take our afternoon recess. Ten minutes, please.
L3	(Whereupon, the proceedings went off the
L4	record at 5:31 p.m and resumed at 5:46 p.m.)
15	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, Mr.
L6	Rich, are you ready, sir?
L7	MR. RICH: Yes, sir. Thank you.
L8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Please.
L9	CROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. RICH:
21	Q Mr. Willms, you claim that the use of BMI
22	music on public television and commercial television

1	is comparable. Correct?
2	A That is correct.
3	Q Here you are referring to broadcast
4	commercial television. Correct?
5	A That is correct.
6	Q You say that as a "general" matter, that's
7	your testimony at pages 8 and 9, you find
8	comparability in the fact that both commercial and
9	public television use feature, theme, and background
10	music. Correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Am I missing something or are there any
13	other categories than those three?
14	A No. Those are the three main things that
15	show up on cue sheets.
16	Q So don't virtually all users of BMI's
17	repertory use at least some feature, theme, and
18	background music?
19	A You are talking about in commercial
20	television?
21	Q I am talking about any significant user of
22	BMI repertory, television, radio, general licensing.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1.	4
1.	5
1.	6
1.	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2

Isn't it a self-evident proposition that most any user of BMI's repertory of any note uses some combination of feature, theme, and background music?

A Well I think radio use is quite different from television use. I mean the whole emphasis on why you use background music in relation to an audio visual performance is different from how you use music in an audio performance.

Q I am just trying to understand your point at the general level, which is to site as a fact that you find makes the two media comparable, that they both use feature, theme, and background music.

A Well music is used in the same way in public television as it is in commercial television.

That was the point.

Q Am I correct that you made no analysis relatively speaking, of the amount of feature versus theme versus background music used on the one hand by public television and on the other hand by commercial television?

A No. We did not make a direct comparison.

Except that programs, if I can continue, such as the

ones we have cited that are like National Geographic,
are used in both and so they use music in the same way
in both.
Q What percentage of public television
programming, to your knowledge, also appears on
commercial television? That is, the same series like
a National Geographic?
A I don't know what that percentage is.
Q Not very high, is it?
A I don't think so.
Q I talked to you a bit about the music use
analysis that you and Dr. Owen put together for this
case.
A (No response.)
MR. KLEINBERG: Bruce, this would be
appropriate, if you are going to music use, to
MR. RICH: Would that be the Exhibit 33?
MR. KLEINBERG: Yes, yes.
MR. RICH: Then I am going to ask that we
must go on a confidential record again.
(Whereupon, the proceedings went
immediately into Executive Session.)

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in

the matter of:

Hearing: Adjustment of the Rates for

Noncommercial Educational

Broadcasting Compulsory License,

Docket No. 96-6 CARP NCBRA

Before:

Library of Congress

Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel

Date:

March 16, 1998

Place:

Washington, DC

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

MywKy